# SCARBOROUGH CAMPUS STUDENTS' UNION Board of Directors Package

Wednesday November 7, 2018 5:00PM University of Toronto Scarborough Campus, Student Centre – SL232

			Attendance		
	Last Name	First Name	<b>Constituency or Position</b>		
√	Lalani	Mobeen	Director of Health Studies		
√	Syed	Hassan	Director of Biological Sciences		
Sent Regrets	Tootonsab	Zahra	Director of English		
Sent Regrets	Elkas	Jack	Director of Psychology		
√	Bahl	Ankit	Vice-President Campus Life (ex – officio)		
√	Brayiannis	Nicole	President		
√	Lhamo	Chemi	Vice-President Equity		
✓	Chan	Desmond	Vice-President Operations		
Sent Regrets	Taj	Zakia Fahmida	Director of Physical and Environmental Sciences		
<b>√</b>	Syed	Hana	Vice-President External		
✓	Gheerawo	Leeza Ayanna	Director of Critical Development Studies		
✓	Abdulle	Ayaan	Vice-President Academics & University Affairs		
Sent Regrets	Lagman	Theresa Louise	Director of Sociology		
√	Hossain	Soaad	Director of Computer & Mathematical Sciences		
Sent Regrets	Alemayehu	Kalkidan	Director of French & Linguistics		
√	Tsai	Li-Yang(Leon)	Director of Historical & Cultural Studies		
✓	Kassim	Hanna	Director of Human Geography		
Sent Regrets	Awad	Ibrahim	Director of Management		
√	Saldanha	Rebecca	Director of Philosophy		

√	Dang	Raymono	1	Director of Political Science				
RU To do this:	LES	OF OR You say this:	May you interupt th speaker?	Must	Is the motion	Is the motion amendable?	What majority is required?	
Object to incorr being used	ect procedure	Point of order.	YES	NO	NO	NO	No vote taken, chair rules	
Seek clarification from the previous speaker		Point of information.	YES	NO	NO	NO	No vote taken, chair rules	
Object to something which prevents your continued partici- pation (eg. excessive noise)		Point of personal privilege.	YES	NO	NO	NO	No vote taken, chair rules	
Object to a motion being considered		I object to consideration of this motion.	YES	NO	NO	NO	2/3 majority	
Consider something out of its scheduled order		I move that the agenda be amended in order to deal with the following item	NO	YES	YES	YES	2/3 majority	
Appeal the rulir	ng of the chair	I appeal the ruling chair on	YES	YES	YES	NO	Majority	
Change a motio	n	I move that the motion be amended to read	NO	YES	YES	YES	Majority	
Have a motion studied more before voting on it		I move that the motion be referred to	NO	YES	YES	YES	Majority	
Postpone further discussion on a motion until later in the meeting		I move that the motion be postponed until	NO	YES	Only to time	YES	Majority	
Postpone consi motion until a fu		I move that the motion be postponed until	NO	YES	Only to time	YES	Majority	
Defer consideration temporarily		I move that motionbe laid on the table	NO	YES	NO	NO	Majority	
Raise a matter tabled	previously	I move that motion be lifted from the table.	NO	YES	NO	NO	Majority	
Reconsider a m voted on earlier		I move that motion be reconsidered.	NO	YES	YES (if original motion was)	NO	Majority	
End debate on	a motion	I call the question	NO	YES	NO	NO	2/3 majority	
Ask that everyone's vote on a particular motion be recorded in the minutes		I call for a roll call vote.	NO	NO	NO	NO	Majority	
Recess the me	eting.	I move that the meeting recess until	NO	YES	Only to time	YES	Majority	
End the meetin	9	I move that the meeting adjourn.	NO	YES	NO	NO	Majority	
			S <del>.</del>				· · · · ·	

## Agenda

## 1. Call to order

The meeting is called to order at 5:19pm

## 2. Equity Statement

## **Read by Chemi Lhamo**

## 3. Approval of Agenda

Motion Moved: Brayiannis Seconded: Dang

Be it resolved that the agenda for the November 7<sup>th</sup>, 2018 emergency SCSU Board of Directors meeting be approved as presented

## 4. Recommendations from SCSU Policy & By-laws Committee to the Annual General Meeting

The committee recommends that the following motion with subsequent amendments, be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

## MOTION Kidanian

Whereas the annual general meeting is the only meeting that lets students hear about the work of the SCSU.

Whereas the annual general meeting sums up the previous administrations term, as well as partially the new administration.

Whereas not everyone is able to attend the annual general meeting.

Whereas the student body cannot hold SCSU executive accountable with only one Annual General Meeting.

Be it resolved the SCSU host a Fall General Meeting and a Winter General Meeting to make the Student Union's work transparent and allow the students to hold their executed tasks accountable, and

Be it resolved that, the Fall General Meeting take place between August 30-October 1st, and

Be it further resolved that, the Winter General Meeting take place between February 30-April 1<sup>st</sup>, and

Be it further resolved that, this clause take immediate effect such that the Winter General Meeting be held in 2019, and

Be it further resolved, that the format of the General Meetings consist similarly to the Annual General Meeting, and

Be it further resolved that, all necessary bylaws and policies be amended by those in charge at the Scarborough Campus Students' Union in order to allow this motion to come in immediate effect after passing. The changes shall be made no later than 96 hours after the motion is passed

## MOTION TO AMEND – 2<sup>nd</sup> BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Be it resolved that the Fall General Meeting take place between August 30-October 31st

#### MOTION TO AMEND – 3<sup>rd</sup> BIFRT Clause

#### Dang/Kanwar

Be it further resolved that the Winter General Meeting take place between February 28-April 1st.

### **MOTION TO AMEND – 6th BIFRT Clause**

Dang/Lhamo

Be it resolved that all necessary bylaws and policies be amended by those in charge at the Scarborough Campus Students' Union in order to allow this motion to come in immediate effect after passing.

## MOTION TO AMEND – Add additional clause

Dang/Tsai

Be it further resolved that the SCSU is required to present the revised operating budget including the breakdown of the remaining funds for the fiscal year at the Winter General Meeting,

#### **MOTION TO AMEND – Add additional clause**

Dang/Kanwar

Be it further resolved that Director Updates be included in the Winter General Meeting.

# The committee does not recommend the following motion be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

## MOTION Atwal

WHEREAS the current Gregorian calendar year is two-thousand [and] eighteen (2018), and the Scarborough Campus Students' Union (SCSU) is a corporation that is operating in the Digital Age;

WHEREAS the SCSU's Constitution outlines the purpose of the Union "to organize students on a democratic, co-operative basis for advancing the interest of the UTSC student community" (2.b);

WHEREAS the SCSU's Constitution outlines the purpose of the Union "to endeavour to bring about fundamental redistribution of socio-political and economic power so as to permit substantially greater participation by students in making those decisions which affect their lives" (2.m);

WHEREAS the SCSU has struggled to address the concerns of voter apathy over the past several years, resulting in less than 15% of members participating in the electoral process;

WHEREAS the Not for Profit Corporations Act, which provides the SCSU with legal recognition to exist legitimately in Canada, states:

"67 (1) A corporation may provide in its by-laws for voting by mail or by telephonic or electronic means, in addition to or instead of voting by proxy.

(2) Voting by mail or by telephonic or electronic means may be used only if,

(a) the votes may be verified as having been made by members entitled to vote; and

(b) the corporation is not able to identify how each member voted";

WHEREAS the University of Toronto in 2010 established the U-elecT (voting.utoronto.ca) online student election system for Student Societies at the University of Toronto to perform their referenda or elections; and

WHEREAS all members of the SCSU, as registered students at the University of Toronto, have reasonable access to computer labs through the Department of Information & amp; Instructional Technology (IIT) at the University of Toronto Scarborough.

BE IT RESOLVED that the Scarborough Campus Students' Union modernize the electoral process by permitting electronic balloting for all future Elections and Referenda held by the Union through the U-elecT system.

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend Bylaw VI, "Elections of Board of Directors" to state the following:

4 Voting

Voting shall be conducted by either electronic or paper ballot. Each voter should be able to vote using their personal electronic device or be provided with the means of voting using either balloting method at all voting booths.

4.1 Each member shall be entitled to cast one (1) ballot for the candidates in an election for each of the Executive Director positions.

4.2 Each member shall be entitled to cast one (1) ballot for the candidates in an election

for a Department Director position, in their respective constituency.

4.3 The Chief Returning Officer shall be solely responsible for the administration of the

U-elecT Voting System as a tool during elections.

4.4 Each nominee may appoint a scrutineer to oversee the counting of ballots when a

paper ballot is used.

4.5 Where a member is found to have a cast more than one (1) ballot for any given

position, only one ballot shall be counted.

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend Bylaw XIII, "Referenda" to include the following:

1. Procedure [...]

d. Referenda shall be conducted via a secret electronic or paper ballot.

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU 2018-2019 Board of Directors and the Policy and Bylaws Committee immediately begin the process of updating all SCSU policies to reflect the demands and concerns of permitting the electronic balloting method.

BE IT RESOLVED that the 2018-2019 SCSU Policy and Bylaw Committee strike a subcommittee (as per Bylaw XII.3.7) on "Addressing Voter Apathy and Enhancing Democracy", which meets biweekly and is open to all members, to hear all comments and concerns raised regarding the implementation of electronic balloting. This subcommittee shall commence by November 20 th , 2018 and dissolve no sooner than January 20 th , 2018.

BE IT RESOLVED that if there are any inconsistencies found in the Articles of Incorporation, Constitution, or Bylaws by the enactment of this motion, the 2018-2019 Board of Directors or Executives call for an immediate General Meeting, prior to the 2019 Spring General Election, so that all necessary amendments can be made.

The committee recommends that the following motion with subsequent amendments, be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

MOTION Atwal

WHEREAS in the 2018-2019 calendar year, each full-time UTSC student paid the SCSU \$82.00 each, amounting to a total of ~\$1.1 million in Incidental Student Society Fees;

WHEREAS the University of Toronto passed the Policy on Open, Accessible and Democratic Autonomous Student Organizations in 2016, which states:

"The University affirms the value of autonomous Student Organizations operating

independently and without interference from the University in their day-to-day operations. However, autonomy must be exercised in a manner that is compliant with the law and University policy. Further, all Student Organizations must conduct themselves in an open, accessible and democratic manner. [...]

"Open Student Organizations are characterized by many of the following: [...]

• Willingness to freely share information about the organization with members [...]

Accessible Student Organizations are characterized by many of the following: [...]

• Commitment to encourage and facilitate participation in the full scope of the organization's activities

• Transparency about what the activities are [...]

Democratic Student Organizations are characterized by many of the following: [...]

• Transparency and accountability to the membership, especially in budgeting and

expenditures";

WHEREAS the SCSU's Constitution outlines the purpose of the Union "to organize students on a democratic, co-operative basis for advancing the interest of the UTSC student community" (2.b);

WHEREAS the SCSU's Constitution outlines the purpose of the Union "to endeavour to bring about fundamental redistribution of socio-political and economic power so as to permit substantially greater participation by students in making those decisions which affect their lives" (2.m);

WHEREAS Bylaw IX.2 clearly states, "There shall be three budgets prepared (Preliminary, Operating, and Revised), all which must follow the Budgeting Planning Framework set in the Operational Policy Manual";

WHEREAS the Not for Profit Corporations Act, which provides the SCSU with legal recognition to exist legitimately in Canada, states:

"84

(1) The directors of a corporation shall place before the members at every annual meeting,

(a) the financial statements approved by the directors under subsection 83 (1);

(b) the report of the auditor or of the person who conducted a review engagement, as

the case may be; and

(c) any further information respecting the financial position of the corporation and the

results of its operations required by the articles or the by-laws.

(2) Not less than 21 days before each annual meeting of the members or before the signing of a resolution under section 59 in lieu of the annual meeting, a corporation shall give a copy of the documents referred to in subsection (1) to all members who have informed the corporation that they wish to receive a copy of those documents."

WHEREAS numerous concerns (past and present) have been raised regarding the inappropriate allocation or usage of Union funds, which remains a specter that damages the integrity and resolve of the Union;

WHEREAS members of the Union signed a petition over the past month for the SCSU to address concerns regarding budget transparency, but whose concerns were never acknowledged nor responded to;

WHEREAS members should be equipped with the necessary competency in all matters concerning the financial operations of the Union, so they may engage with the SCSU with tangible and realistic expectations from a fiscal point of view;

WHEREAS it has been the experience of countless members over the past several years to have the Vice President Operations unable to answer basic questions regarding the Union's budget; and

WHEREAS the simplicity of the current line-item budgets that are published for members consist of broad expenditure categories which create a superficial analysis of expenditures:

BE IT RESOLVED that the Scarborough Campus Students' Union immediately make available to members copies of more comprehensive line-item budget sheets that detail expenses and revenues in a manner consistent with the following principle:

i) All budget lines with a sum greater than \$1000.00 CAD be further broken down into new

lines until this criterion is met, or until the value being shown is for that of a single item.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the SCSU amend Bylaw IX, "Finances", to the following:

2. Budget

2.1 There shall be three budgets prepared (Preliminary, Operating, and Revised), all which must

follow the Budgeting Planning Framework set in the Operational Policy Manual.

2.2 Members may request and obtain a copy for any of the most recent budgets which have

been prepared by the Board of Directors or the Executive Committee.

BE IT RESOLVED that 2018-2019 Executive Committee ensure that documents outlining the Budgeting Planning Framework set in the Operational Policy Manual is made accessible online (scsu.ca) for members.

#### MOTION TO AMEND – 1st BIFT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Strike the following: or until the value being shown is for that of a single item

#### MOTION TO AMEND – 2<sup>nd</sup> BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Strike the following: 2.2 Members may request and obtain a copy for any of the most recent budgets which have been prepared by the Board of Directors or the Executive Committee

#### MOTION TO AMEND – 3<sup>rd</sup> BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Be it resolved that 2018-2019 Executive Committee work with Board of Directors, Finance Committee and Policy & By-laws Committee to create documents outlining the Budgeting Planning Framework made accessible online (scsu.ca) for members.

# The committee does not recommend the following motion be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

#### MOTION Atwal

WHEREAS the ideas and topics often encompassed by Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion make life socially and intellectually vibrant, exciting, and fulfilling for the members of the Scarborough Campus Students' Union (SCSU);

WHEREAS the SCSU's Constitution outlines the purpose of the Union "to facilitate cooperation among students in organizing services which supplement the learning experience, provide for human needs, and develop a sense of community with our peers and with other members of society" (2.e);

WHEREAS the SCSU's Constitution outlines the purpose of the Union "to foster the intellectual growth and moral awareness of students in order to benefit them, the University of Toronto Scarborough Student Community, and society" (2.k);

WHEREAS the members of the Union strongly echo the attitudes of the University of Toronto President's Statement on Diversity and Inclusion: "Diversity, inclusion, respect, and civility are among the University of Toronto's fundamental values. Outstanding scholarship, teaching, and learning can thrive only in an environment that embraces the broadest range of people and encourages the free expression of their diverse perspectives. Indeed, these values speak to the very mission of the University. They spark education, discovery, and understanding and so take their place among humanity's greatest forces for good";

WHEREAS there are concerns regarding the insufficient number of standing committees for directors to join so they may fulfill their duties and responsibilities outlined in Bylaw VII;

WHEREAS faith communities and their symbolic expressions are increasingly being targeted, stigmatized, and excluded in many parts of Canadian and University Campus life; and

WHEREAS members of faith and cultural groups continue to request from the SCSU basic funding for services that are necessary to adequately practice the rituals relevant to the group's respective traditions:

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU establish a standing committee titled Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Committee.

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend Bylaw XII, "Committees", to include the following:

"1. Committees of the Union [...]

1.7 Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) Committee [...]

2. Composition and Terms of Reference for Committees [...]

2.7 Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Committee

a. The voting members of the EDI Committee shall be:

i. President

ii. Vice President Equity

iii. Vice President External

iv. Vice President Campus Life

v. Two (2) directors who are not ex-officio and are to be appointed by the Board.

vi. Four (4) members of the Union who shall be each be appointed by the Board.

b. The Vice President Equity shall chair the EDI Committee.

c. The Executive Director shall serve as the secretary of the EDI Committee, who shall be responsible for forwarding all minutes and records of transactions to the Secretary of The Board.

d. The EDI Committee shall be afforded \$3000.00 by the Board to budget and manage the Respect for Students of Faith Fund.

e. The EDI Committee is required to make a report to the Board once a month summarizing its activities.

f. The EDI Committee shall have the responsibility to assess all existing Issues Policies and make policy recommendations for the Board to improve the standing of equity, diversity, and inclusion at the SCSU.

g. The EDI Committee shall have the responsibility to critically engage with the policies and publications of University organizations, offices, or departments which affect the standing of equity, diversity, and inclusion at UTSC.

h. The EDI Committee shall assist the Campaigns & amp; Equity Commission in working to facilitate campus wide discussion and action on issues of equity, diversity, and inclusion.

i. The EDI Committee shall be responsible for the organization of an Annual Conference on Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion at the UTSC campus.

i. The EDI Committee shall meet at least once (1) per month."

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend Bylaw VIII, "Responsibilities of Executive Committee Members", to reflect the following:

"1. President

g. Shall participate as a voting member of the Board of Directors, Executive Committee, Finance Committee, Policy & amp; By-Laws Committee, Clubs Committee, EDI Committee, Campaigns & amp; Equity Commission, Events Commission.

3. Vice President External

g. Shall participate as a voting member of the Board of Directors, Executive Committee, Policy & Committee, By-Laws Committee, EDI Committee, Campaigns & Campaigns & Commission, Events Commission.

4. Vice President Equity [...]

h. Shall participate as a voting member of the Board of Directors, Executive Committee,

Policy & amp; By-Laws Committee, Clubs Committee, EDI Committee, Campaigns & amp; Equity

Commission and Events Commission. [...]

n. Shall act as Chair of the Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion Committee.

5. Vice President Campus Life

h. Shall participate as a voting member of the Executive Committee, Clubs Committee, EDI Committee, Events Commission and the Orientation Steering Committee".

BE IT RESOLVED that the Vice President Equity immediately begin to draft an Operational Policy, that is to be submitted to the Board for Approval, for the "Respect for Students of Faith Funding Policy" under the following guidelines:

i) The funds must be distributed equitably, and without discrimination, to recognized faith or cultural groups on campus which include, but are not limited to, Native

American, Daoist, Indigenous, Hindu, Jewish, Sikh, Atheist, Buddhist, Islamic, Confucian, Christian, Secular, and Humanist groups.

ii) Funds are made accessible to requests made by all faith groups on campus, who can reasonably justify the necessity for the funds as they pertain to the practices or rituals and customs that the group deems important.

iii) Funds may be used for the purposes of renovating or enhancing the status of the Multifaith Prayer Rooms.

iv) Funds may not be used for remunerations.

v) There shall be no deadlines for applying for these funds, nor shall there be any "first come, first serve" conditions set upon access to the funds. The funds shall be accessible throughout the entire year.

vi) all conditions and adjudication procedures shall be outlined in the Respect for Students of Faith Funding Policy

## The committee recommends that the following motion with subsequent amendments, be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

MOTION Atwal

WHEREAS a comprehensive bylaw review is necessary for the well-functioning of the Scarborough Campus Students' Union (SCSU);

WHEREAS these gaps, repetitions, and errors in the bylaws threaten the daily operations and special operations of the SCSU;

WHEREAS the SCSU Board of Directors nor the Policy and Bylaws Committee have submitted substantial amendments to the by-laws in recent years;

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend and update Bylaw I "INTERPRETATION" to include the following definition:

Definition of "Automatically".

"Automatically" shall refer to something necessary, inevitable, done spontaneously, and without conscious thought or intention.

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend to update Bylaw II "3. Membership Fees" to reflect the financial amounts of the current 2018-2019 academic year.

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend Bylaw VIII "5. Vice President Operations" by removing the following repetition:

"r. Shall chair the Finance Committee"

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend and update the Header of Page 24 to "Bylaw XI – Commissions", and the Header of Pages 25 and 26 to "Bylaw XII – Committees"

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU reflect on importance of the University of Toronto plagiarism policies, specifically the effects of copying-and-pasting without attention to detail, and thereby amend and update sections g, h, and i of Bylaw XII.2.5 to state "DSA Committee" instead of "Clubs Committee". Otherwise, be it discussed whether sections g, h, and i of Bylaw XII 2.5 need be shifted over to Bylaw XII under "2.4 Clubs Committee"

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU include a Cover Page for the available Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws Package. The cover page shall include the SCSU Logo and the following text:

Scarborough Campus Student' Union

Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws as amended 14 November 2018

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws be made consistent using a single font style and using consistent spacing schemas.

#### MOTION TO AMEND – 1st BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

To strike the following: BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend and update Bylaw I "INTERPRETATION" to include the following definition:

Definition of "Automatically".

"Automatically" shall refer to something necessary, inevitable, done spontaneously, and without conscious thought or intention.

#### MOTION TO AMEND - 4th BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU amend and update sections g, h, and i of Bylaw XII.2.5 to state "DSA Committee" instead of "Clubs Committee". Otherwise, be it discussed whether sections g, h, and i of Bylaw XII 2.5 need be shifted over to Bylaw XII under "2.4 Clubs Committee"

#### MOTION TO AMEND - 5th BIFRT Clause

## Kanwar/Dang

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU include a Cover Page for the available Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws Package. The cover page shall include the SCSU Logo and the following text:

Scarborough Campus Student' Union

Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws as amended with the publication date

## MOTION TO AMEND - 6th BIFRT Clause

Dang/Syed

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws be made consistent accessible using a single font style and using consistent spacing schemas.

# The committee does not recommend the following motion be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

#### MOTION

Alibux

WHEREAS members of the Scarborough Campus Students' Union understand the significance of providing transparency and accountability to the students they represent at UTSC;

AND WHEREAS the Union completely supports ensuring accessible information on policies voted in by the Union to the students of UTSC, as outlined in Not-For-Profit Corporations Act "any person entitled to attend a meeting of the members may participate in the meeting by telephonic or electronic means that permits all participants to communicate adequately with each other" (53.4);

AND WHEREAS the Union believes that students should have the right to view how their elected officials represent their own interests during meetings where policies are passed on their behalf;

AND WHEREAS the Union purposes "to organize students on a democratic, co-operative basis for advancing the interest of the UTSC student community; (2.b), which requires that students are easily made aware of the legislative process their elected peers undergo;

AND WHEREAS per the Personal Information Protection and Electronic Document Act (PIPEDA) does not cover broadcasting of the meeting as it is for journalistic purposes.

BE IT RESOLVED that the 2018-2019 Board of Directors of the Scarborough Campus Students' Union immediately work towards broadcasting all meetings where executives and directors vote on school policy.

## The committee recommends that the following motion with subsequent amendments, be added to the SCSU Annual General Meeting on November 14, 2018;

MOTION Dang

Whereas the democratic representation afforded by the bylaws of the Scarborough Campus Students' Union has ceased to develop since the last set of policy and bylaws reform in 2013-14,

Whereas the trend is in accountability and students being able to choose their representatives,

Whereas the Vice President Operations before was justified to be a democratically elected position because of the transparent and democratic control of SCSU's finances,

Whereas the Vice President Campus Life is a position that is about the students and their community,

Whereas other student unions have elected their Vice President Campus Life,

Whereas the student population and our equity obligations have developed since the 2013-14 reform,

Be it resolved that the position of the Vice President Campus Life be elected in all upcoming elections from this 2018/2019 year onward.

Be it resolved that the 2 international representatives discussed in the March 2018 general meeting be elected in all the upcoming elections, finishing the by-laws approval process,

Be it resolved that the first year director terms be extended to September of the next fiscal year,

Be it resolved that there be general at-large directors be discussed within policy and by-laws meetings as potentially implemented for the campus.

Be it resolved that the policy and by-laws committee discuss the implementation of two year director terms for certain directors and on certain conditions,

Be it resolved that the policy and by-laws committee discuss incentives and remuneration for the efforts of board of directors now and for the future as an operational policy.

## MOTION TO AMEND – 1st BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Be it resolved that the position of the Vice President Campus Life be elected in all upcoming elections from this 2019/2020 year onward.

#### MOTION TO AMEND – 2<sup>nd</sup> BIFRT Clause

Dang/Tsai

Strike the following: Be it resolved that the 2 international representatives discussed in the March 2018 general meeting be elected in all the upcoming elections, finishing the by-laws approval process,

#### **MOTION TO AMEND – Additional Clause**

Dang/Kanwar

Be it resolved that the SCSU introduce 2 elected international student representatives as voting members on the Board of Directors

#### MOTION TO AMEND - 3rd BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Strike the following: Be it resolved that the first year director terms be extended to September of the next fiscal year

## MOTION TO AMEND - 5th BIFRT Clause

Kanwar/Dang

Strike the following:

Be it resolved that the policy and by-laws committee discuss the implementation of two year director terms for certain directors and on certain conditions,

#### **MOTION TO AMEND – Add additional clause**

Dang/Kanwar

Be it resolved that future Policy & By-laws Committee meetings discuss the implementation of at-large directors at the SCSU.

## MOTION TO AMEND – 6th BIFRT Clause

Dang/Kanwar

Be it resolved that the policy and by-laws committee discuss compensation for the efforts of board of directors now and for the future as an operational policy.

## The committee did not make recommendations of the following motion

## MOTION Kanwar

WHEREAS the role of the University of Toronto Scarborough (UTSC) Women's and Trans Centre (UTSC WTC) is in providing a safe, inclusive, and anti-oppressive space and resources and references for all students on women and LGTBQ+ issues pertaining, but not limited to topics such as feminism, health, and equity; and

WHEREAS the UTSC WTC has been actively engaging, empowering, and raising awareness on campus and within our community in an intersectional, accessible manner for the past 24 years via a multitude of events, campaigns, and collaborations; and

WHEREAS UofT advocates for the the principles of equal opportunity, equity, and justice (according to the UofT Mission Statement); and

WHEREAS SCSU, despite its mission to "democratically unite and represent the diverse undergraduate student body at UTSC, to advocate on their behalf, to advance their experience, while serving their needs and interests", has taken a passive stance in moving to support LGTBQ+ focused issues and events in the past few months, as seen by SCSU's lack of involvement with UofT's tri-campus Queer Orientation (UofTQO) during September. Both UTSU and UTMSU showed active involvement in Queer Orientation whereas SCSU's lack of presence was highly noticed among other stakeholders; and

WHEREAS the UTSC Women's and Trans Centre has been having an annual conference for the past 6 years to commemorate International Women's Day and Women's History Month by inviting workshop facilitators, well-known speakers, and performers such as Warsan Shire, Leah Lakshmi, Banoo Zan, Cecile Emeke, Rupi Kaur, Angela Phung, Vava Valentina, Beardonce, and Shannon Boodram. The interactive workshops and keynote presentations have effectively created accessible spaces of open, critical dialogue among attendees (constituting of UofT students and members of the community) and networking opportunities, especially for students belonging to marginalized communities; and

WHEREAS SCSU's support for the the UTSC Women's and Trans Centre's annual conference, despite its overall impact in bringing greater recognition to the UTSC campus and in creating a platform and space for women and individuals of the queer community, has been limited and gradually decreasing over the years. In the 2014-2015 academic year, SCSU supported the conference with \$2000 of funding. In the 2015-2016 academic year, SCSU supported the conference with \$1000 in funding. In the 2017-2018 academic year, \$1500 were requested towards the annual conference; however, SCSU redirected funding requests, ultimately leading to no contribution whatsoever other than a minimal amount of marketing efforts; and

WHEREAS the UTSC Women's and Trans Centre is scheduled to have their annual conference on March 23, 2019. This year, the theme for the UTSC Women's and Trans Centre is titled: "Making HERstory", in hopes to explore the powerful female/femme influencers and the path towards a feminist future. Topics covered in the interactive workshops and keynote presentation include, but not limited to discussing LGTBQ+ inclusion, sexual violence prevention and support, black feminist thought, indigenous acknowledgement and reconciliation, equity issues, mental wellness, and women empowerment. Event attendees will include UofT students, UofT alumni, and community members; and

BE IT RESOLVED that SCSU contributes \$7,000 in monetary support towards the UTSC Women's and Trans Centre's 2019 conference "Making HERstory".

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the SCSU use their available resources to assist in advertising the UTSC WTC conference "Making HERstory" online on Facebook and on-the-ground advertising.

## The committee did not make recommendations of the following motion

MOTION Alibux

WHEREAS "the Rule of Law should mean that no one is above the law" (Director of Political Science, 2018-2019);

WHEREAS the Scarborough Campus Students' Union (SCSU) is recognized as a legitimate corporation under the Articles of the Not for Profit Corporations Act;

WHEREAS all members of the SCSU regard the supremacy and lawfulness of the Union's documents of governance, which include all Articles of Incorporation, Mission Statement, Constitution, and Bylaws;

WHEREAS Raymond Dang was ratified as the Director of Political Science for the 2018-2019 year.

WHEREAS the 2018-2019 Board of Directors violated the Provisions under Bylaw X.3, Bylaw VI.2:4, and Bylaw XV.1, on September 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018 at the Monthly Scheduled Meeting, to illegally vote and reinstate the Director of Anthropology & Health Studies.

WHEREAS Raymond Dang had moved and motivated the illegal grievance motion at the Scheduled Meeting, with little to no understanding of any provisions found in the Union's Articles nor those found in the Not for Profit Corporations Act;

WHEREAS the SCSU's Operations Policy Manual makes explicit that the Chair is,

"the final authority regarding the interpretation of procedure and questions of constitutionality during meetings of the Union's Board of Directors";

WHEREAS Raymond Dang called to overrule the Chairperson's counsel regarding the unlawfulness of the motion at the Scheduled Meeting, thereby indicating to members his intentions to disregard the Rule of Law;

WHEREAS Raymond Dang is known by members to partake in Conflicts of Interest and Violations of Confidentiality (as per Operational Policy – Board of Directors Code of Ethics) during the 2018-2019 Spring Elections and throughout his current term, where he continues to aid in the obstruction of justice;

WHEREAS the Not for Profit Corporations Act is clear in that,

"26 (1) The members of a corporation may, by ordinary resolution at a special meeting, remove from office any director or directors, except persons who are directors by virtue of their office.

(2) A director elected by a class or group of members that has an exclusive right to elect the director may only be removed by an ordinary resolution of members of that class or group.

**27** (1) Subject to the by-laws, a director is entitled to give the corporation a statement giving reasons,

(a) for resigning; or

(b) for opposing his or her removal as a director if a meeting is called for the purpose of removing him or her.

(2) A corporation shall immediately give the members a copy of the statement.

(3) No corporation or person acting on its behalf incurs any liability by reason only of complying with this section"; and

WHEREAS the Government of Ontario explicitly mentions,

"A meeting can be both an annual and a special meeting" (https://www.ontario.ca/page/guide-not-profit-corporations-act-2010).

BE IT RESOLVED that Raymond Dang, the 2018-2019 Director of Political Science, be immediately removed from his position as director from the SCSU Board of Directors;

BE IT RESOLVED that the SCSU proceed forward in a lawful manner to re-elect a member from the Political Science constituency to take upon that position,

## 5. Other Business

6. Adjournment

Motion

Moved: Mobeen

Seconded: Abdulle

## Minutes:

Chair: we are joined by the ex-official rep from the women's centre and another thing I'd like to mention, I'll come to you in a minute, another thing I wanted to mention is this meeting is being voice recorded so if you can project as much as possible so we can capture that at the centre of the room. Questions? Raymond.

Dang: oh, I'm present, just missed my name.

Chair: oh I missed you?

Dang: it is because its on the other page.

Chair: ohh my apologies. Director of political science, present.

Saldanha: when we okayed the recording last meeting, does that meeting we get recorded moving forward?

Brayiannis: so these are recordings for the minute taker. This is for after we received concerns that were expressed so we are rectifying that now and moving forward with our own recording.

Saldanha: so to clarify, media is not recording today?

Chair: so this is for the purposes of minutes because people said there was some inaccuracies in the previous minutes. So this is for the purpose of minutes.

Saldanha: okay.

Chair: does anyone have the package we have in front of us? Okay. Any questions before we start?

## 1. Call to Order

Chair: I will call the meeting to order at 5:19 pm. The first thing we will be doing is the reading of the equity statement.

## 2. Equality Statement

Lhamo: reads the equity statement.

## 3. Approval of Agenda

Chair: alright. Item number 3 approval of the agenda, you have the package in front of you. This portion has been moved by Nicole. Can I please get someone to second it? Seconded by Raymond [Dang]. Any discussion on the agenda?

Dang: I'd like to move that we add in an adjournment clause onto the agenda. I don't think it exists on our agenda right now.

Chair: sounds good. I think you're missing ... its on page 21.

Dang: oh its on page 21. Is it possible to also include director updates if we have any.

Chair: so this is an emergency meeting. So an emergency meeting will only have the matters in the emergency meeting that were called for the emergency meeting. So it is not a typical board meeting, its an emergency meeting for the purposes of what I am about to explain so we cant necessarily add standard items to it. That's why you wont see a lot of this stuff you'll typical standing board meeting. Any discussion on the agenda? Seeing none we will go to a vote. All those in favor of approving the agenda please raise your voting cards. Thank you. Those apposed. That motion carries.

## 4.Recommendations from SCSU Policy & By-laws Committee to the Annual General Meeting

Chair: I want to take second, so I can explain what is about to happen. So I ask that people pay attention a little bit. So the way, can I have the room please? Okay. The way the general meeting works is motions are brought to the general meeting by general members, including individual people from the table. So, SCSU is an autonomous incorporated non-profit organization that is recognized by the ministry and so under the government of Ontario, SCSU is seen as an incorporated non-profit organization. So what the means is there's an act that SCSU has to follow which is referred to as a non-profit corporations act. So that's a non-profit corporations acts of Ontario. Within that act and that act has changed recently, within the last 12 months. Within that act, the way that you conduct your general meetings it says to change a by laws of a corporations, like SCSU, motions pertaining to by laws changes must come through the committee of the board. Okay? So that means for a motion to be accepted at your annual general meeting that has changes to you by laws, those motions have to come through a committee of the board. So, in the SCSU by laws you have your policy and bylaws committee which you folks which you refer to as PBC. So, it is my understanding at this point that PBC met, reviewed those motions and are now brining those recommendations to this body as a passage way for those motions to go to the AGM. These are particularly motions related to bylaws changes, that's it. So, what you will be seeing in front of you is essentially a report from PBC to the board on their recommendations on the bylaw motions that will produced and sent to the president's email as per the notice of the general meeting provisions within the SCSU bylaws. So, I'll pause there for a minute if people have any question or points of clarification before we proceed.

Dang: so, I'd like to ask for each individual motion, it doesn't seem like there will be a mover and a seconder? So how would that work?

Chair: so each motion come form recommendations from PBC. If you are assuming that this report, you will either be accepting the reformations or not accepting the recommendations. So we're not going to be deliberating on the motion, we are going to be deliberating on the reformations. Is that clear?

Dang: [nods in agreement]

Chair: any other questions or clarification?

[Member of the public requested speaking rights. The chair explained the process of requesting speaking rights via a board member]

Chair: are there any questions about what I have clarified? Cool. If there is a board member who wants to extend speaking rights, you can do so as well. But I am looking to the board members to see if there are any questions or if anyone wants to move motions to extend speaking rights to anyone in the room before I start. [waits for any requests]. Alright, I'll get started. So item number 4. Item number 4 it asks that the committee has recommend that we accept the motion in front of us and they have within that motion amendments that would like to be added to the agenda for the purposes of the November 14 annual general meeting. So the motion starts on page 4 with the various "where as" clauses at the beginning. Towards the end of page 4 you see the "be it resolve" clauses and it goes into the next page. What you see in bold are all the recommendations and amendments that were done by PBC that were done to enhance this motion. So essentially what we will be doing in starting from page 6, going backwards, and accenting the recommendations of PBC. Does that make sense?

Unknown: I'm to interrupt once more. I'd like to ask for speaking rights to people who passed, who proposed these motions so they can actually discuss recommendations that the board can amend some of them.

Saldanha: are you asking for speaking rights for yourself?

Unknown: yes.

Saldanha: Okay, ill give speaking rights.

Chair: okay so whats the motion?

Saldanha: motion to give Cercus speaking rights. Correct, that's your name?

Chair: can I get a seconder? Thank you. Seconded by Hanna [Kassim]. Any discussion on extending speaking rights to Cercus? Seeing none well go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Apposed? That motion carries. Speaking rights have been extended. Yes?

Saldanha: is there an official way for people to ask for speaking rights?

Chair: what I usually recommend is that if people want speaking rights they ask board members and then the board members move the motion. Because these individual cannot move a motion and I cannot move a motion. So if there are people around this room want speaking rights, my advice is to ask a board member to move that motion. I will recognize that member and well do it that way.

Saldanha: My question is like for people that are asking for speaking rights from the board member, is there any official way to ask?

Chair: No. Just ask. Any other questions? Alright, go ahead.

Saldanha: motion to give speaking rights to Anup.

Chair: okay that's been moved by Rebecca. Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Hassan [Syed]. Any discussion on extending speaking rights to Anup?

Saldanha: I appreciate the politeness in your tone.

Chair: any other discussion on extending speaking rights to Anup? Seeing none, well go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Hold them. Those opposed? Alright, the motion carries. Is there any other discussion before we proceed? Alright, so, turn over to page 5. So essentially what well be doing is going over the recommendations and well be voting on the recommendations one after another. Does that make sense? That reason were doing that is in case you folks, as a board, feel that there are recommendations that are being brought here that do not want to recommend. So we cannot do it as one big recommendations package. Cool? So the first one at the bottom is a motion to amend and add an additional clause. That additional clause is on page 6. I would seek a motion in terms of a recommendation. So if you put your hand up, tell me if you are making a recommendation for approval or a recommendation to remove it. Raymond?

Dang: id like to move to adopt this recommendation.

Chair: ok. That is moved by Raymond. Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Leeza [Gheerawo].

Cercus: So you just amended the first motion? Or where are we at?

Chair: no, so you just walked into the room so you missed what I said. The last, on page 5, were going one bolded recommendation after another. So were starting from the bottom where it says "Motion to amend additional clause." So the additional clause is on page 6, but the recommendation is on page 5. So we got a mover and a seconder. Do you have a question? Okay. Is there discussion on the recommendation to adopt? Alright, seeing none, all those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Thank you. That recommendation passes. Now we are moving up, kind of like a ladder. So motion to amended, this is at the bottom of page 5, to add an additional clause. Can I get a recommendation on the table?

Dang: I'd like to recommend to adopt that clause.

Chair: can I get a seconder? Cool. [Tsai]. Is there any discussion? Okay, seeing none well go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Thank you. That recommendation carries. Alright so were on the next one. So, motion to amend the sixth "be it further resolve" clause, again, page 5. Can I get a recommendation on the table?

Gheerawo: Director of health studies doesn't have the correct card.

Chan: no I talked to Mobeen and said I'll make sure his card is here next time.

Chair: so what I recommend is just flip that one the other way around and well recognize you, I know your name, we'll recognize you as so. And for next meeting well ensure you have your card. Okay, recommendation on the table. I'd like a recommendation on the table on the page 6 be it resolve clause.

Dang: I'd like to move to adopt it.

Chair: can I please get a seconder? Leeza. Discussion?

#### Brayiannis

: I'd like to move to amend that be it further resolved clause. To strike out "in order to allow this motion to come into immediate effect after passing."

Chair: okay so did people hear that? So this is a motion to amend the recommendation and to take out "in order to allow this motion to come into immediate effect after passing." Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Rebecca. Do you want to motivate?

#### Brayiannis

: sure. So the way that the annual general meeting works is that any changes that are made are made into effect the following year within the bylaw changes. So just a happy background, the scsu are actually planning to have a spring annual meeting already so were taking that into account of what happened last year. So we can take into advice the timeline given but the actual bylaw changes cant take immediate affect until next year. That's just kind of a logistical fix within the be it resolve clause itself.

Chair: so just want to clarify something. When a motion passes at the general meeting and it's just like people don't understand how it works but when I most passes and a general meeting it becomes effective immediately. If your general meeting ends at 3, at 3:01 that thing becomes effective immediately so it's usually just a delay when you update your bylaws and we put on your website. Operationalize wise it comes into effect immediately unless the motion says we're passing this motion with the effect that that it will be affecting the election starting March 2019. So unless it says otherwise it becomes effect immediately. Does that make sense? So what I'm trying to say is that amendment that that Amendment doesn't make sense so what we can do with the motion on the table is just to vote against that Amendment. Is there any questions? Do people understand that?

Dang: so yourself clarified that a motion passes it will take into effect immediately after. So what Nicole said about it being next year where does that intersect?

Chair: it doesn't intersect. It is incorrect. Does that make sense?

Dang: okay so if I can ask a follow-up. Motions that passed through the AGM automatically be put into place.

Chair: Motions pass at the general meeting become effective immediately after the meeting is over. Unless the motion says otherwise. In some cases it could be "be it resolve that this Student Union at the

position of the food be effective 2019 elections." Right? Otherwise all the Motions that pass at the general meeting is effective immediately. Does that clarify things for you Raymond?

Dang: yes thank you

Syed (Dir. Bio): if that's the case what's the point of this clause?

Chair: and that's what I was saying so you can just vote down the amendment and then we can move on. It's just the way Nicole understood it was differently because there is a motion on the floor we still need to address the motion. Does that make sense? Any other questions?

Dang: can I call a question?

Chair: yeah sure. So you call the question so can I get a seconder on calling the question? 2nd and by Ayaan. Any discussion on calling to question? yes.

Lhamo: just a clarification we are calling to question the point Nicole brought up yes? Or all of the amendment?

Chair: just the amendment being called. All right we'll go to a vote on calling to question all those in favor for calling the question. thank you. all those opposed? okay the question has been called and we're going to go straight to voting on the amendment. All those in favor of the amendment raise your cards. thank you. All those opposed raise your card. the amendment fails. We are now back to the main motion, any discussion?

Dang: point of information the motion I put forth is it a motion to adopt?

Chair: yes

Dang: is it possible to withdraw that motion?

Chair: so it has already been seconded and being discussed. Is there any discussion? On the amendment 6 pages be it resolve clause.

Saldanha: unless I am reading it wrong doesn't it say we're going from the bottom upward right so this is saying that everything above it will be done? no?

Chair: no. We're just dealing with it as is.

Saldanha. Ok

Chair: so essentially the instructions of what we are doing to this motion are in bold which we are amending the six be it resolved clause. Right? So if you go to the motion and you count the 6th be it resolve clause, it is essentially the last clause right? Okay? so that is the amendment that is being recommended that's it. That is the original be it resolved and this is the amendment that is being recommended. so are we in favor of that recommendation going to the board meeting sorry the general meeting? Is that clear?

Dang: I'd like to motivate actually against this motion given that given the information that Hildha has shared so I urge you vote against it.

Chair: is there any discussion on the motion?

Brayiannis: just do to clarify because I want to make sure people are not misunderstood of what I said. So basically this is redundant it already comes into effect after the meeting. Sorry for the misunderstanding everybody

Chair: correct are we clear? any discussion? yes go ahead

Cercus: by way of that you are just admitting the fact that they need to update your bylaws. By putting this clause there you are putting yourself to abide to actually do it. I mean you are going to update it anyway so by keeping this is just stating that fact

Chair: any other discussion? seeing none, yes

Syed (Dir. Bio): I am curious if they're already is a clause like this in the bylaws? no okay.

Chair: because you are expected to follow the corporation act and what it says. Is there any discussion? Okay what I want to say is that the recommendation at the table is to adopts recommendation so if you are voting in favor you are voting in favor of adopting this recommendation. if you are voting against you are voting against the adoption of the recommendation. so we will go into a vote. All those in favor of adopting the recommendation please raise your voting cards now. thank you. all those opposed please raise your voting cards. thank you. so that Amendment recommendation does not pass.

Chair: we will move on to the next one which is an amendment being made to the third of be it resolved clause, so I need a recommendation on that

Dang: I would like to recommend to adopt this clause

Chair: cool. any seconder please? Nicole. any motivation or discussion? yes

Syed (Dir. Bio): can I ask whoever put forth this motion why they selected these dates what is the significance

Chair: so essentially the first part of it that is being amended from was the was the general meeting held in 2019 but this one is specifying a time frame so are you asking for clarification on the time frame? cool do you want to proceed to explain?

Dang: I would love to explain. So the original motion does actually give a time frame but that time frame is February 30th and February 30th does not exist so we decided to adjust the amendment.

Chair: any discussion?

Anup: I am not a hundred percent sure on the dates if there are specific intervals in which general meetings can occur as per the corporation elections in our bylaws. Or it's in our bylaws actually for the

general meetings. I don't remember I can't remember what bylaws it is but there is a specific time period when elections can be held and for when meetings can be held. Or if not then February 29th

Chair: your bylaws do not specify a date they only specify at semester. fall or winter

Anup: also then they would be fine with the interpretation.

Chair: yup

Dang: just for clarification it does say that elections have to be held during a certain date

Anup: yes so elections do okay my apologies

Chair: any other discussion on that all? right seeing none will go to a vote on recommendation or adoption. all those in favor please raise your voting cards opposed. thank you. Opposed? that motion carries. Back to the top one there, can I get a recommendation?

Dang: I'd like to recommend to adopt the second be it further resolved clause

Chair: so that's moved by Raymond for adoption can I get a seconder? seconded by Leeza. do you want to motivate?

Dang: yes so for this particular be it further resolve clause, if you look at the original on page 4 at the bottom it says be it further resolved that the fall general meeting take place between August 30th and October 1st. In my opinion and my experience with the past students union there are a lot of things going on in that period. And I don't think that that personally we as a board are able to fulfill and October 1st deadline.

Brayiannis: I actually want to move to amend this motion 2 extended to November 30th.

Chair: okay so that's an amendment to extend the motion to November 30th moved by Nicole. can I get a second? seconded by Raymond. do you want to motivate.

Brayiannis: so the reason I am proposing to extend it due November 30th is because currently the Fall by elections are required to take place between September 20th and October 30th. I think it will be too much for students as it's very overwhelming as we have too many things happening at once and already recognizing that it's a difficult good student engagement throat throughout the first semester because it is a big orientation period especially for First Years. To ensure that we have the best voter turnout investor engagement I think having November as an option is a good thing to keep open where future years as well.

Chair: any discussion on the amendment?

Anup: I just wanted to support the motivation that midterms are usually in the first week of October and November being and November everyone being free and December we have finals so it's a good time frame.

Cercus: I am actually speaking against this motion and against the original Amendment just because we're going to have work with the fall general meeting you're going to have the AGM so it's limiting to September 30th for your AGM that is going to happen in November. sure might be a little tight on deadline but you're also not keeping consistency or your winter general meeting which is you are giving a month and a few days so you're actually giving a longer. So you are not being consistent holding your fault general meeting and your winter General meetings.

Chair: any other discussion on the amendment all right thing on will go to a vote on the amendment and again the amendment was to extend it to November 30th. all those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Abstentions? alright the motion fails. Okay back to the motion amended which was until October 31st are there any other discussion? seeing none. Oh Cercus.

Cercus: just one more comment I don't know how you personally plan for the AGM and fall general meeting at the same time, I think the motion should take that into consideration because by limiting to October 30th you have a few weeks to hold your AGM before the fall general meeting so it might be a little redundant to have a meeting at the same time.

Dang: I think I like to speak in favor of this particular Amendment because like I said again I think the entire month of September is an orientation month and students generally don't know what's going on and if we're going to allow students to get engaged with a democratic process I don't think a month is enough because they start their term basically on September 1st. And that gives them a 30 days for them to the only get a proxy vote, submit the form as well as submit amendments and motions, I don't think generally speaking that would be a good idea.

Brayiannis: yeah I was just a little confused I thought the fall general meeting was referring to the annual meeting in the fall timeline so I was just curious as to what information was the spirit of the motion? I could ask for a response after, what would be discussed at the fall general meeting for the spring general meeting be treated as kind of like a follow-up for a second chance for students who weren't able to attend the fall general meeting or if something came up in between. And recognizing that September is very early in the year if it's just a recap of what happened in the summer or four types of information looking to be?

Cercus: exactly you're prepping I do understand what you guys did throughout the summer whereas your AGM is prepping you for the entire year. What the previous administration has done as well as. It would be letting us see what you guys done over the summer and prepping us for your annual general meeting.

Syed (Dir. Bio): I guess you wasn't really answer my question. I just wanted clarification on difference between general meeting and AGM.

Chair: a general meeting is also AGM it is the acronym for it. AGM stands for annual general meeting

Syed (Dir. Bio): right so to clarify is there three meetings?

Chair: no so in your bylaws there is a section in your bylaws that talks about meeting members and there is a section that talks about meeting members and it refers to an annual general meeting that happens in the fall and then a general meeting what happens in the spring. Those are both two things but annual because it is the beginning the year. Some places refer to the other as a semi annual but in your bylaws it just says annual general meeting and general meeting. To refer that it is it continuum of the year of the people holding office the board of directors and executives members. So it's just kind of like still your term but there is a second general meeting happening.

Syed (Dir. Bio): so can I just clarify that there are two meetings?

Chair: there are always two meetings you must always have two meetings. What this is clarifying when you will have the meetings. Okay so the by law is saying the one that we are making an amendment to is giving us an amendment of the duration of time which you will have a general meeting.

Brayiannis: but this is saying that it is separate from the general meeting. So the Mover of the motion is saying that we have a general meeting at the start of September and then a annual general meeting with the members. So that would be two general meetings within the fall semester. And then one general meeting again in the spring semester.

Chair: so yes that is the gist of the motion. But what I am talking about is the amendment right now. And he was asking for clarification on the fall general meeting. What we are trying to do which is the dates.

Syed (Dir. Bio): oh no no no I was just trying to get clarification on how many meetings there are and what each meeting means.

Chair: okay right now we are talking about two meetings. 1 in the fall and one in the spring.

Brayiannis: but this is proposing having two in the fall and one in the spring.

Chair: so what this is asking for is that you have two general meetings in the fall. One during this time and the other during another time. So nothing has happened, it is just a proposal to do that. Does that make sense?

Syed (Dir. Bio): can I get clarification on what's the difference?

Chair: The Proposal is to add an annual meeting.

Syed (Dir. Bio): what is the difference between the two meetings.

Chair: okay so you are asking what is the difference between the proposed meetings?

Cercus: so just to clarify. During the annual general meeting you usually talk about finances. I noticed there was no talk of finances in the winter meeting. One of the amendments was to discuss Finance. Fall general meeting is not expected to talk about finances as it is not your annual general meeting. Fall general meeting is what you would talk about what you plan to do during the year. It's just for students to understand what your meeting has done they have any questions they want to pass then any motions that isn't able to wait for the annual general meeting so they have the opportunity to do that there.

Chair: okay so I am just going to make some clarification as I believe there is some misunderstanding of what needs to happen. According to the corporations Act the only meeting you can call on members is a general meeting. It can be an annual general meeting or it could just be a general meeting or it could be a semi annual general meeting. Within the corporations Act it says when you call any of those meetings you must review the budget, the audit, the report of the executives. So you cannot have a general meeting in the fall, it has to be the same things discussed in the general meeting. That's according to the corporations Act. So just to go back to where you are coming from with the motion it may not be germane in terms of these items must be discussed at the general meeting. If a board of directors call an annual general meeting these must be the things that are in the annual general meeting. If a board of directors is to call a semi annual general meeting these must be the same things must be the same things must be the same things ageneral meeting.

Cercus: just to reiterate what you said so all general meetings are all the same?

Chair: yes.

Cercus: so each general meeting we discuss Finance?

Chair: yes. And at that point you could also be discussing finances of the audits our finances just because you are discussing finances. That is required by law. Does that kind of clear up things? Okay. Does that help answer your question? Cool. All right so the next person on the speaking list is Anup.

Anup: so I just wanted to clarify on the difference between General and annual. According to the corporations Act. The only reason it is called annual is because we must hold one managed in the 12-month period of a general meeting. That is why it's called annual. Technically if we have 2 in a 6 months period, none would have to be called a annual meeting because it's still just a general meeting. The person who made this motion really just wants a commission promotions to be proposed so that they can be further motivated. I don't think it might be necessary there are a lot of logistical things to do in an entire general meeting just promotions and then there's a lot of nonsense that needs to be discussed before.

Chair: okay so I'm going to stop you there. Germain to the motion it's on the basis. I was just offering clarification and we will now move on to discuss the dates that have been proposed because that is the amendment on the floor. Okay? So again just talking about the dates right now or motivation period on the speakers list it is Raymond.

Dang: I was under the assumption that... I would like to thank the people who are participating in the debate first. So I was under the impression assumption when I was making this amendment in committee that fall general meeting would replace the so-called general meeting. So given that there have been two versions of what has been discussed could I see clarification from the Mover of the original motion and also the will of the board to see what we would like to do with this motion.

Chair: Okay so essentially what I am going get through the speakers list as there is still in people on it. If there are any questions on the motivation of the main motion then we can address that. That way it would give us clarity on where to proceed with the amendment.

Brayiannis: I think if we can work under the assumption that fall general meeting would be equivalent to the annual general meeting we stick to these dates. If not I would recommend defeating the amendment so that we do not have a fall general meeting because it would be too much to have a double general meeting in one semester. But if you can work on the understanding on the fall general meeting will mean the annual meeting I think we can stick with it.

Saldanha. Nothing.

Chair. I am going to give the Floor to explain what your intent was in the original motion so everyone can understand and that way the board knows what way to take their direction.

Cercus: My original intent was to have a fall general meeting and an annual general meeting. But as discussed it is not possible. But with that said I would suggest you guys too if you would like to switch the fall annual meeting to the general meeting to have two meetings instead of one annual meeting. And furthermore I would like to recommend you guys adopt Nicole's or the presidents amendments just send them through.

Chair. Okay so these are the amendments on the floor. Do people have clarity about what the original motivation of the motion was asking for?

Dang. Point of information. The original mover of the amendment was myself.

Chair. We are talking about the original Mover of the motion. Of the motion that was being amended by PBC. Okay on page 4 the person who moved this motion to be sent to the AGM, that is the original mover, of the motion. Who is providing clarity as to why they motivated this motion. Cool? So I was asking do people have questions for him? Based on what is being said. Because now we are provided with clarity. Before we proceed to the motion Amendment, are there any questions? Or discussion? So we still have an amendment to discuss. We have still have an amendment to discuss and we've had a recommendation to approve the adoption of the amendment of the general meeting. So that is for the second be it resolve clause. So we will go to a vote on that but before we go to a vote do we have any questions?

Syed (Dir. Bio). Can we make an amendment to this amendment? I would like to amend that these dates be changed to November 31st, 30th.

Chair. So the amendment moved by Hassan. So the amendment "be it resolved that the fall general meeting take place between August 30th and November 30th." That is the amendment. Can I get a seconder? Yes seconded by Mobeen.

Dang: Point of order. Seeing that that this amendment to the Amendment failed once is it correct procedure to reconsider and introduce it again?

Chair. It didn't pass so we can't recommend something that didn't pass. So we can reintroduce the motion that and that is totally fine. Any question?

Saldanha: Can I ask the person who amended that motion what the relevance of August 30th is? Like what this relevance of the start date being August 30th is.

Chair. That was in the original motion the person put so that is the start of the semester. It is giving you a range of when you can have it. So the earliest you can have it is August 30th and the latest you can have it being proposed right now is November 30th. Any other discussion on the amendment? Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none well to a vote to extend it to November 30th. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. All those opposed? Are there any abstentions? All right that Amendment recommendation carries. So now we have concluded all the Amendments that we want to add to this motion. So procedure right now is we do one more vote to recommend the whole package as a amended for the general meeting. Does that make sense? Okay any discussion? Alright. All those in favor the motion as amended to be recommended to the agenda for the general meeting please raise your voting card. All those opposed. Alright we have gotten the first one.

Chair: now we moved to the second one which is on page six. So this one the recommendation is at the top there. The committee does not recommend the following motion to be added to the scsu annual general meeting. So you see the motion there as it stands. So what I'll need is a recommendation from folks here to from a recommendation of the committee's do the bored accept the recommendation or not? So I need a motion on that.

Dang: I'd like to not recommend that this motion be sent to the AGM.

Chair. So essentially Raymond you can't do a motion to not recommend something. So there is already a recommendation so are you agreeing to that recommendation?

Dang. Yes.

Chair. So that has been moved by Raymond can I get a seconder? Leeza. Is there any discussion?

Anup: I was wondering if I could get clarification from the bylaws committee or someone on the bylaws committee as to why they do not agree with the motion?

Chair: Is there anyone who is on the bylaws committee who wants to speak? Just raise your card.

Dang: So as someone who sits on the policy and bylaws committee I found it particularly concerning that the Mover of the original motion suggest U-elect as a system. Because U-elect is run by the University and we are an independent non for-profit Corporation. We are a student Society yes recognize by the University but we are duly independent and do not think that we should be run by the U-elect system. No other students union runs their elections system through U-elect. Let's just get that clear. No University students union does that because they don't want external interference into our elections. The reason that we did not approve of the other procedures within this motion is because the second-

be it further resolved clause deals with the U-elect system and the third which talks about referenda deals with that original first be it resolve clause. As well as the fourth one which says begin the process of updating all SSU policy reflect the demands and needs of committing electronic balloting system. I do agree in principle but again if that causes would be further amended and further clarifying clarified I would be very grateful and would love to hear that. And the last one about addressing and enhancing democracy. This committee does not have composition. It does not talk about the requirements of which we are supposed to take into consideration as well as dates for which addressing voter apathy and enhancing democracy subcommittee meeting would be incorrect. It says January 28th 2018 and I don't suppose that we can go back in time. But even if we suppose that it meant 2019 I don't believe that between now and January 20th 2019 we would have enough time to address voter apathy because voter apathy is a long-term concern and I do not believe that a committee under policy and by law would be necessary. As well as the last be it resolved clause calling for the immediate general meeting prior to the spring general meeting elections. That is completely, and logistically impossible because that would mean calling a general meeting essentially in either January or December when students are taking the exam. So this is why we decided not to recommend this policy but I would love to hear from the person who put forth the motion.

#### Mobeen: can I put in a motion to give speaking rights to Eilia?

Chair. Moved by Mobeen to extend speaking rights to Eilia. Can I get a second? Soaad. Any discussion on extending speaking rights? Seeing none we will go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That motion carries.

Anup: Okay so it is important to address first concern U-elect. So U-elect is a voting system that several student societies here at UTSC use for their general meetings and their elections. Fusion radio is an example. I'm not sure about the underground but maybe they use it to. As for the corporations Act, section 67,1 it is very clear that voting by mail or telephone or electronic means maybe only used only if a) If the votes be verified as having members eligible to vote and b) the corporation is not able to identify how each member voted. So having external body with wit who we the Union have great rapport with. I'm sure the executives here can report on that. And it is a system that is being used by students societies, I don't think that we need to look at other unions in the Uoft bubble as kind of a basis of whether we should proceed or not. Any students unions in western Canada particularly do utilize their student there University voting system. So that is something that is not unique in the sense that if we were to proceed forward. So I think that is a very important verification to make. The corporation act demands that our balance be an external independent body and the university is one. And based on the conditions set by UofT the cro would be taking responsible and have full over side of that you U-elect system. Secondly this is regarding the voter apathy and enhancing democracy, I think there was a misreading of that particular committee. It says it meets bi-weekly and it is open to all members to hear all comments and concerns raised regarding electronic ballots. so we are not interested in addressing voter apathy which we should be but this committee be interested in concerns raised by implementing an electronic system. I think that is something that we definitely have because we can't just rush into this thing immediately but it is but it is necessity nevertheless. Also for the last concern about the

inconsistencies the board of directors is not part of the executive. The board of directors are not the only ones that can call a general meeting because according to the corporations Act 10% of the members call for a general meeting. That is not something you should be afraid of as a board because if hypothetically at students decided they wanted to have a petition and have 10% the population then there would be no option. So this is something that is more kind of like a safety method if there are inconsistencies to something that we find based on committee in order to amend bylaws. It would be easier just to call the annual general meeting and fix everything before proceeding. Lastly I don't see why there is a controversy at all as this is something that has been discussed at this campuses University as to why there is not electronic voting.

Saldanha: About the U-elect thing for lack of a better word I think that it makes complete sense in my personal opinion having an online voting or some other way students can vote because or a lot of students this is not their main campus. I think it is problematic so to trust the university as has we re Union separate from the University and it is the Union's job to protect the students. Like in any other Union and work or wherever you are separate from your work. I don't think that it will be fair to trust the university with that. Historically we have two different mascots for the University and the union. So how could we just trust them with something. We don't trust them with our mascot so how can we trust them with something so serious as a voting?

Brayiannis: so I would like to speak in favor of Raymond for the motion looking forward. One of the big issues regarding voting is corrosion. One of the ways we are able to monitor the way people vote is by having things like we all see how intense these elections can get. So right now we at least have tape lines that students are able to pass within the voting area. If it is online there is no safety measures for students who are voting. It is a very intense situation. It's a safety measure for students to make sure that they feel comfortable safe but they're voting measures as well. Recognizing that online voting can be convenient but it can it has its skewer problems as well. I agree with what Rebecca said about online voting method be proposed as well is not necessarily the best course of action. I think we can investigate by comparing to other student unions to see their successes and their failures of online voting. But right now I don't think it's a smart move on the Union to do we implement or rush this process to have it by the spring elections.

Eilia: I agree with exactly what Nicole and Raymond mentioned. I think that as online voting will eventually be something we invest in I think that this motion has his outline is not productive to that discussion. I think the folks mention online votes have issues where such as where you store student information. I think that there is a big problem with outsides talking about conspiracy against and trust UofT. If you depend on the UofT system you are kind of assuming that it will be around for a very long time. You have all seen with U-life that's some of these systems do go away. And have they been tested two handle larger voter bases and what are the support systems are in that? Do computers have to be put in place specifically IP address? I think it's just a whole host of questions and information that needs to go through a more rigorous process so while I think that the intention of the motion are wellintended I think that it is something that is something you need to move towards and that this motion does not capture that fully.

Dang: I would like to echo a lot of the points being made by different members at the table and not at this table. Touching on the point the point that Nicole said about corrasion and safety in voting of the election. Hundred percent agree with that sentiment and because of the partisanship that we have seen in recent elections I really do hope we can find a neutral solution that help students have an accessible vote but also recognize that they should be voting for their free will. Also to recognize Rebecca's point to support the motion that I put forth which is to agree that that the PBC. I think it's really important to have an independent election system that is not University run. Like Eilia sad about how we are relying upon the university and the continued use of the U-elect systems is a point of concern. And to address the topic of voter apathy I completely agree with you that we have a 15% voter turnout rates in the last election and we should be working towards that. As a member of the policy and bylaws committee I have heard you loud and clear and wil take this back to the committee. Another venue you could raise this matter is through emergency motion that was passed in September 27 2018 on a governance commission and you can raise that up there.

Anup: yep so I hear all the concerns and I think there is a fear of an external entity being UofT. Like to make a point that the system has already been vetted and there is a whole team of legal experts who deal with this system. It is not just a whole bunch of University administrators we're dealing with it. I think the union should consider if you establish an independent balloting system then that is a lot of much money that we may not have in our budget. What we are asking for as per the corporations act section 67,1 it has to be an independent body that does the body of all the ballots. So I can point this motion is not asking for only online voting. If you read it says that online voting is one option but paper voting is also acceptable to students. So some people may not feel comfortable with online voting so they make receipt through the paper method. I think the concern and something that is outside jurisdiction. That is a private individual matter between the individual and who they are interacting with. I don't think there is any law or legal system that protects the board or any member of her Union from this corrasion. Perhaps student code of conduct but I do not recall anything of that sort. Furthermore that U-elect system is used extensively by student societies and I don't think there has been an issue with voting. Any of the questions that were raised about the system or about the university fudging any numbers has ever occurred so I do not think that it is a rational concern. Lastly I can definitely argue that the voter apathy concern is not the point it is not the exact motion. That is a more substantial discussion that will continue on Post online voting. I can definitely see pulling the numbers up and I think we can increase voter turnout because not everyone is on campus and available to spend time after class standing in long lines to participate in voting. It is much more convenient to basically login with your uterus ID which is a secure University Toronto login. so if they are concerned about security should bring that up at the University because of your transcript on your finances at everything goes through the very same system. The cro has full Authority University over the online system so the Union kind of has a point of contact between the university and the Union.

Abdulle: I would like to call a motion to question.

Chair. Okay so there is a motion to call to question. Can I get a seconder? Raymond. any discussion?

Syed (Dir. Bio): I feel like we haven't talked about it enough so this is a big motion so there is a lot at play and then we haven't gone to any of the specifics yet so I would like to vote against calling it to question.

Chair: Any discussion on calling it to question? Seeing now none we will put calling it to question to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting card. All those opposed. Alright so we've called the question. so the question is on the recommendation to not approve this motion for the annual general meeting agenda. All those in favor for upholding the recommendation please raise your card. All those opposed? Any abstentions. All right the motion carries. Moving on to the next one on page 8. So page 8 has a recommendation has a motion that you're about to go through has the added to the semi annual annual general meeting and it has amendments and it has amendments so we will proceed with how we did previous ones so flip over to page 10. so we will be working again backwards starting with each Amendment and then finally to the main motion does that make sense? So this is from page 10 to 11. so essentially it is amending the first year result clause. So you have it there that is recommended. So I need your recommendation on the table.

Dang: I moved to adopt the third be it resolved clause.

Chair: so that is a move to adopt that clause. Can I get a seconder? seconded by Mobeen. any discussion? so it's actually outlined in page 11 but instructions are on page 10. Any discussion?

Dang: I like to motivate in favor of this motion because I think it's very important that's we show how we are developing this budget. Other students unions show the framework on their operational policies and we should be no different. We should set an example and I know that we have members of the finance committee here today. and with the policy and bylaws committee which is the primary committee responsible for this.

Chair: Any discussion that motion to amend. Alright seeing none we will go on the recommendation on the amendment. All those in favor please raise your voting card. Opposed. That carries. Okay we are back to page 10 the second be it resolve clause. Can we get a recommendation from the board?

Dang: I would like to move to adopt the second be it resolve clause.

Chair: Okay can I get a seconder? Seconded by Leon. Motivation or discussion?

Dang. I think it is just redundant to be honest. 2.2 on page 10 it says the members May request a copy of any of the most recent budgets prepared by the board of directors or executive statement. When it passes through the different boys which are responsible for passing the budget it is automatically put on the website. So it is available there for members to see. So I do not see the reason why we should have it in this clause.

Anup: so in the corporations Act the only reason it is needed inside this motion is just for the people reading the bylaws to be aware of what there's to do unions. So this is just for transparency. It is just for more transparency.

Chair. Any other discussion okay seeing none the recommendation is to add this to the package all those in favor please raise your voting cards. thank you. Opposed? that motion carries. Back to the first be it resolve clause can I get a recommendation on the floor.

Dang. I would like to move to adopt the first be it further resolve clause.

Chair. Can I please get a seconder? Seconded by Mobeen. Is there any motivation or discussion?

Dang: So I know that the minutes are not available in this package but I wanted to communicate to the person who put forth this motion that the strike the following are the value being shown of every single item. It was discussed in the policy and bylaws committee that we would not be able to produce every single line of item. what the accountants do is they have aggregates of every line so it is something that we can't do.

Lhamo: I would like to make an amendment to the motion sorry I would like to make an amendment to the amendment please. To strike the following "all budget lines over \$1,000 Canadian be further broken down into new lines until this criteria is met or until the value is being shown of that of the single item".

Chair. The right now the motion is to strike that so your amendment is to strike all of that? Do folks understand what is being amended?. So if you go to page 10 on the first be it resolve clause there is the item at that is listed as one. so the motion that is being asked in terms of the amendment being put forth by Chemi right now is to strike lines under this criteria is met or until the value show if that of a single item. So we are striking all of one, which is the amendment. So my recommendation is that is not captured in this amendment that we are talking about right now. So when we get back to the main motion as amended to make that change. Because this does not capture everything, does that make sense? So I will not ask for a seconder on that because that will just confuse folks on what we are trying to do. So right now we are going to strike that Amendment. Is there any discussion on this motion?

Eilia: can I ask the chair a question? If we were to discuss an amendment to all of line one would that not be default may be it resolve clause? So if we were to vote striking all of line 1 then would that not default the be it resolved Claus? Because the be it resolve clause states that the line Budget sheets follow the manner of the consistent manner.

Chair: so I have not accepted that motion. So that is for later. Right now it is not relevant because I said that it is not about this motion. But yes. You will get to that when we get to that. It will confuse people if we talk about other motion

Dang. I actually disagree.

Chair: Raymond what I suggest is that we address the main motion that has been amended and then we will come to that because we are confusing people about something that has not been brought forth you.

Dang: again I would like to say that it would not even be possible for us to produce all of the different items that are under so-called I don't know how to describe it as so-called line item for us to do that would potentially waste a lot of student money.

Eilia: to speak in favor what Raymond is discussing. So what's really being asked hear of the notion of everything coming down to a single line on a budget sheet it's not something that Financial Auditors do. It requires a lot of time and money.

Dang:. I would like to call to question.

Chair. Can I get a secondary to the called the question? Seconded by Chami. Any discussion on calling question? Seeing none we will go to a vote I'm calling the question. All those in favor please raise your cards. all those opposed? A question has been called to amend the motion on the pages of be it resolve clause. All those in favor of recommendations to adopt please raise your food voting cards. All those opposed. Alright that motion carries. Okay so now we are back to the main motion as amended. At this point is there any discussion. So if you want to make an amendment you can.

Lhamo: I would like to put in motion an Amendment. The Amendment reads the following of the first be it resolved cause. That the Scarborough Campus Union really make available two students a copy of detailed expenses and revenues. And then the amendment is to strike the rest until the end of criteria is met sentence.

Chair: so let me see if I understand this right. The first Amendment is you want to keep everything as is up until the third line that has revenues and the strike everything there and then continue onto the value showed is that of a single item. Okay so the amendment reads as follows are folk's following on page 10? The first be it resolve clause at the top of the page, be resolved at the Scarborough Campus Union immediately make available to members copies of more comprehensive line items budget sheets which detailed expenses and revenues. So that is the amendment that has been moved by Chemi can I get a seconder? Raymond. So now I will let allow for her motivation. And then I will take a speakers list.

Lhami: Yes for motivation so there are a couple reasons why first there is that the statement we provide be aligned with meetings. When we are asked to give details of everything that we spend under \$1,000 that would include and touches upon sensitive issues such as HR issues. It would avoid grievances. If we were to give a list of everything that we spend money on that would also include things like salaries. And that will fall into HR issues as that would allow people to track down on every single full-time staffs salary and it's a sensitive matter. It also creates more work on the Union as we currently only have one accountant that works with us along with operations degree to make a budget Center available to you folks. so if you were to require an in-depth financial statements of everything that is above \$1,000 or below \$1,000 spent by the union they would also cause more work on the Union to either hire another individual or in the operations Department which will again add to the cost. Another thing is if you look at financial statements provided by other students unions different campuses for example the York Federation of students. It is the same way that we present our financial statement for the board of director meetings which are automatic automatically available to you during board meetings. And you can always visit operations to get a breakdown of the budget and get an idea of where those dollars are being spent. But in order for us to create a separate document that would detail every expense under \$1,000 it would require a lot more resources. And that is why I would like to go to motivate this amendment.

Anup: I'd just like to make a quick statement before I go. Thank you everyone for the motions and the rest of them. Also, I think it's just important to let you guys know that you don't have to make amendments to every single motion because motions can be amended at the end in the general meeting. So all your meticulous hard work here may not necessarily flourish till the end so just be mindful of that. Look at the big picture of the motion rather than then the minor details of what's possible and not possible because that is the whole point of an annual general meeting for members to decide with respect to motions.

Chair: Cool. Next on the speakers list is Raymond.

Dang: sorry I retract.

Chair: Okay Nicole.

Brayiannis: Okay I just wanted to highlight a sentiment that Eilia said earlier. That stated the interim be it resolved clause kind of relates to what the sub-clause is. So I would like to make an amendment to the amendment to strike the whole be it resolved clause in addition to what Chemi amended.

Chair: Okay so this is an amendment to the amendment to strike the whole be it resolved clause at the top of page 10. So that has been moved by Nicole. Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Hanna. Motivation and speakers list.

Brayiannis: Sure. Okay so as was stated by Eilia, the lead up to it the line by line statement would correspond to the \$1,000 breakdown so it doesn't make sense to state line by line or how we're going to spend on each individual thing. So it makes more sense to kind of just to have clarity in the motion to just strike the entire thing if we're going to strike the \$1,000 thing anyway.

Dang: I would like to speak against that motion. I think that there is some level of granularity. I think there is some level of compromise that we can achieve here. We, as board of directors, we had the Vice President of Operations as well as the executive Director exploiting all of the different expenditures for the revenue streams that we have and I think it is important to keep that granularity so that students know approximately where it's going instead of just having to guess "so it came from advertising. Oh it came from a vending machine. Oh and came from X, y, or z." Student's can have that granularity by having the numbers listed here which is \$1,000 Canadian. I think that we can achieve more accountability but still not sacrifice privacy concerns raised by Chemi.

Eilia: I actually disagree with the motivation now because Chemi actually reworded her Amendment and it now no longer defaults. I would actually agree with her Amendment simply because... What I think the original mover of this motion is asking for is a profit loss statement not a budget sheet or an income statement. I don't think if they fully recognized that an accountant or auditor will not be able to provide information at the level that they are asking.

Chair: okay no one else is on the speakers list. Oh yes?

Gheerawo: I was just wondering if we would be able to discuss the statements that he has because it is a little bit more in depth and I'm not sure because I've only seen parts of it but I'm not sure if it talks about

the wages or anything except that. But it is a more in-depth breakdown of line by line what is spent rather than then what is presented in the board package. So I don't know, the person who moved the motion has left but I don't know if that might be a middle ground?

Chair: There is no one else on the speakers list so now we will go to a vote on the motion on the amendment to the amendment. So the amendment to the amendment is, to strike the whole be it resolved clause. So that is what we are going to vote on. Does that make sense? All those in favor of the amendment to the amendment, to strike the first be it resolved clause, please raise your voting cards. All those opposed. Thank you. The motion fails. Back to the motion as it was amended, is there any discussion?

#### Syed (Dir. Bio): Could you just reiterate the motion?

Chair: Okay so what has failed is that you wanted to strike the motion to strike the whole be it resolve clause. So now we are back to the amendment that was, be resolved that the Scarborough Campus Students' Union immediately make available to members copies of a more comprehensive line item budget sheet that details expenses and revenue. So that is the amendment being discussed. Does that make sense? So if you want to be on the speakers list I will put you down.

Eilia: I would actually ask that a board of director or an executive member propose a new amendment to the be it resolved clause. One that actually outlines which financial statements we are talking about here. These are very vague terms here. Technically the term budget sheet it's not a real financial statement so I would ask that somebody or someone introduce an amendment that changes the be it resolved clause to include types of financial statements.

#### Dang: I would like to retract

Lhamo: So for the first half I would like some time to think about that. But for the second half in terms of the time, if you look at the page before after the third whereas clause on the page before item number two. Less than 21 days. So I think it is referring to the budget that we present at the board of director meetings that is supposed to be available 21 days in advance. In terms of time frame for the whereas clause. To answer the first question I think it is referring to financial statements to the budgets sheets that are presented at the board meeting.

Chair: I am going to make some recommendations. It seems that some people are unclear and want to work this out. If it is the case that this amendment passes and people want to hash it out more you have until November 14th to do that. So rather than trying to figure out what happens and what is done regarding what is currently the best practice let's pass the amendment as is, and you folks go and discuss it and bring it do the general meeting with precise details of what you want to do because I don't think that we are giving the ability to have a proper conversation in a democratic place right now. Again on the speakers list was Eilia, and then okay.

Eilia: In that case I recall.

Gheerawo: I think in past meetings it was stated that we are not to allow live-tweeting so I'm a little bit confused because I can see online things that were being discussed here are online.

Chair: okay just to reiterate, whoever is live tweeting that was something that we have talked about that wasn't going to be the case. Because you want people to know what is happening then a summary is allowed but a live tweeting of who is saying what was spoken out in this place is not allowed even when I was a chair. So I ask that you refrain from live-tweeting but you can definitely talk about what is happening.

Dang: I would like to further echo the point of live-tweeting the entire conversation. A member who has just left is responsible for the live tweeting and it has taken place on multiple occasions. And I seek the guidance of the Chair to rectify the situation.

Chair: So the next time you folks recognize that, as I am not on my computer as often, just notify me as soon as it's happening. Then I can step in and intervene.

Dang: Is it possible for me to ask a supplementary question? In the meantime what steps can we take to rectify this the situation? Because the damage is already done.

Chair: It is even impossible for me to figure out how this would be addressed. But again if you see this let me know right away.

Dang: Is it possible for the next schedule board meeting or before every board meeting begins to reiterate that live-tweeting is strictly prohibited?

Chair: yes I can definitely do that. If I forget just remind me. Okay so again the amendment on the table is still being discussed, is there any further discussion? Seeing none we will go to a vote. All those in favor of the amendment please raise your voting cards. Thank you. Opposed? That carries. So now we are packaging this up with all the amendments including the main motion. So it is just a procedural vote, so is there any discussion on that? Alright so we will go to a vote on recommending this motion with the subsequent amendments as discussed. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. so we are recommending that to the annual general meeting with the proposed amendments. If people have amendments to discuss please bring them to the meeting. Next is on page 11 the committee here does not recommend that this motion be added to the annual general meeting. I would seek a motion to uphold or overturn that recommendation.

Dang: I would like to uphold this recommendation.

Chair: That is moved by Raymond, can I please get a seconder? Seconded by Chemi. Any discussion?

Dang: For the record this motion was brought up during the policy and bylaws meeting where we further exceeded our schedule time to discuss this motion. But that being said this motion was rejected because incredibly vague amounts for which where reference... Sorry just trying to pull that up. The amounts of which are trying to be referenced are unclear about the origins so we do not know where the origins of the EIs funds come from, whose budget it will come from. It was discussed in the policy and bylaws committee meeting, as well as the different minutiae in the last be it resolved clause on the

funding policy are incredibly vague which do not allow for discussion. And seeing that the other be it resolved clause on the page are related to the actualization of the committee, addressing this would rip away the foundation of which this motion stands on. So this is why I motivate this motion and uphold the PBC recommendation.

Chair: Is there any other discussion? Okay all those in favor raise your cards please. Opposed? All right that recommendation is upheld, that motion will not be in the general meeting. Moving on to page 14 the recommendation is to adopt this and the subsequent amendments. So as we have done prior I will ask that you jump to page 16 so we start with the be it resolved Clause and walk our way backwards. So I am seeking a recommendation on the motion to amend the 6th be it resolved clause on page 16.

Dang: So I would like to adopt the sixth be it resolved clause.

Chair: Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Chemi. Any motivation?

Brayiannis: I would like to make an amendment to fix the grammar, to read, "be it resolved that the SCSU mission statement, Constitution and bylaws be made consistent using a single accessible, font style and using consistent spacing." Change the comma of the word accessible from before placing it between single and font. So it reads as accessible font.

Chair: so I will read the amendment and then you let me know if that is correct. "Be it resolved that the SCSU mission statement, Constitution and bylaws be made consistent using a single, accessible font style and using consistent spacing schemas." That is the amendment. Can I please get a seconder? Seconded by Raymond.

Brayiannis: Sorry point of information. The numbering on the be it further resolved clause are inaccurate so we are actually looking at the 7th clause not the 6th.

Chair: Thank you. Any discussion on the amendment? Okay all those in favor of the amendment please raise your voting cards. Those opposed? That Amendment carries. Now we are back to the main motion on amending the 7th be it resolved clause. Is there any discussion? Seeing none we will go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? All right now we are on the 6th cause on page 16 and goes into page 17. Can I get a recommendation on the floor.

Dang: I would like to recommend to adopt that's 6th be it resolved clause.

Chair: can I get a seconder? Seconded by Mobeen. Any motivation or discussion?

Dang: I would like to particularly focus on mission statement and bylaws as amended by publication date. I think it is important that we show members when we last amended our bylaws. So it tells us that we are looking towards renewing our bylaws every so often.

Brayiannis: Motion to amend, again this is more of a clerical thing. It says student's union but it's actually the apostrophe is after the s.

Chair: So we will fix that because it is the official name of the Union. Is there any other discussion on that? Seeing none we will go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Thank you that carries. So the next one at the bottom of the page says that it is the fourth but it is actually 5th be it resolved clause, and I am seeking a recommendation from the board.

Dang: I would like to move to adopt 5th be it resolved clause.

Chair: Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Leeza. Any motivation?

Dang: I think it is just correcting the language and the attributions for that particular clause.

Chair: any other discussion on this?

Syed(Dir. Bio): so I need to read the bylaws but are there separate sections that outlines clubs committees as well?

Dang: Yes so I think it was the intention of this be it resolved clause. I think it was just an attribution error because certain parts of the original motion dealt with incorrect areas. So I think g, h, i by law 12.2.5 should say DSA committee instead of clubs committee. It is just a simple grammatical thing. This is absolutely not a substantial change it's just to make sure that our bylaws are consistent.

Chair: Is there any other discussion? And does that provide clarification to you Hassan? Okay seeing none we will go to a vote on recommendation. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Thank you that motion carries. Now we are back to the last amendment on page 15. Which is the first be it resolved clause and I'm seeking a recommendation on this.

Dang: I'd like to move to adopt the first be it resolved clause.

Chair: cool. That is moved by Raymond. Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Kali. Do you have any motivation Raymond?

Dang: I don't think that it is necessary to talk about the definition of automatically I think everything is clear.

Unknown: inaudible.

Chair: Any other discussion? Yes Rebecca.

Saldanha: I think that at the university level everyone knows what the word automatically means.

Chair: Is there any other discussion? Seeing none we will go to a vote on the recommendation. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Okay that motion carries. So now as a package on all the amendment and the main motion, is there any other discussion? Seeing none we will go to a vote on accepting the recommendation with all the amendments. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Thank you. Opposed? That carries. So the next one the committee does not recommend adding this to the annual general meeting. So I seek a recommendation from board members to uphold the PBC recommendation or to overturn it.

Dang: I would like to seek to uphold the recommendation to not recommend this to the AGM.

Chair: Thank you can I get a seconder please? Leeza. Is there any motivation or discussion? Yes go ahead.

Dang: Again, SCSU is completely independent from the University of Toronto. Of course there is overlap in terms of who we work within the University of Toronto and also the partners that we Lobby to. But I do not believe that having SCSU broadcast meetings and executives on school policies is something that is necessarily an order.

Chair: Is there any other discussion on this? Okay seeing none we will go to a vote on upholding PBC's recommendation. Please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That motion carries. Onto page 17, this motion has some recommendations from PBC. Let's jump to the bottom of page 18 and walk backwards. So the first one is on the sixth be it resolved clause. I am seeking a recommendation from board members. Yes Raymond.

Dang: I would like to move to adopt the sixth be it further resolved clause.

Chair: Can I get a seconder please? Mobeen. Any discussion or motivation? Go ahead.

Dang: I think it is very important and I think that all board members here today agree that the work that we are doing is a lot of work and that we are really pushing towards better engagement and also better service provisions for our different members. And I think we need to be at least compensated for those efforts because we give so much time into this position.

Chair: Any other discussion? Alright seeing none we will go to a vote on the motion to amend and accept that recommendation. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. The next one is the motion to amend and then add an additional clause. I will seek a recommendation from the board.

Dang: I would like to move to adopt the additional clause.

Chair: thank you. Seconder please? Kali. Any motivation?

Dang: other students unions have directors at Large. It would help relieve the responsibilities on us as academics directors as we represent the entire campus and the students union. I myself can speak to the fact that I have represented students that are not actually in my department.

Chair: Is there any discussion on that? Seeing none, recommendation on adding additional clause as an amendment, we'll go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That motion carries. We move on to the fifth to be it resolved clause. And I seek recommendation from the board.

Dang: I would like to move to adopt the 5th be it resolved clause being struck.

Chair: all right can I please get a seconder? Nicole. Motivation or discussion?

Dang: I think we talked about it in policy and bylaws how the implementation of two terms was going to be very messy for a lot of the individuals who are coming to the university. The timing of a two-year term was not conducive towards working with fresh ideas. So that was what was talked about.

Chair: okay is there any further discussion?

Eilia: question for the chair. We are discussing the 5th be it resolved clause?

Chair: Yes.

Eilia: Thank you.

Chair: any further discussion? Seeing none we will go to a vote on the recommendation. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. Now for the third be it resolved clause I seek a recommendation here.

Dang: I would like to move to adopt the third be resolved clause, which is to strike the following.

Chair: okay that has been moved by Raymond. Can I get someone to second it? Nicole. Do you want to motivate?

Dang: I think the rationale is similar to the 5th be it resolved clause which is that first years directors coming in would be, first-year directors coming in would be fresh and that it doesn't really make sense for first-year director terms to go and span in the summer months. I think that was the motivation of the committee.

Chair: Is there any other discussion?

Hassan: can I ask when their term is currently held until?

Brayiannis: from the elections in the fall by elections following stratification from the board until the end of all of our terms.

Chair: Is there any other discussion? Alright we'll go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? All right that carries. Next is the motion to add an additional clause. I would seek a recommendation from the board.

Dang: I would like to motion to adopt the additional clause.

Chair: That has been moved by Raymond. Can I get a seconder? Mobeen. Is there any motivation?

Dang: Considering that we serve students who are also International students and international students make up more than 25% of our student population, it is important that we have representation for them.

Chair: Is there any other discussion on that?

Eilia: I would ask that the board not recommend this adoption. I would also like them to consider how voting would occur for this. Also that they consider that there are larger discussions to be had around

this additional clause. I think the first is that as a student represented as a voting member on the board, which group of students are they representing? Are they representing International students across the board? In that case does it suddenly mean that now you folks are no longer representing International students within your DSA's? I'm just saying it doesn't make sense from a board structure perspective and the way that the board is currently organized. And I think there are a lot of questions around this that still need to be answered. It's going to cause some destruction to the problem. If I'm not currently mistaken but I'm pretty sure right now if you go to vote for directors you have to belong in that DSA? So now how would you go about voting for an international representative? And then two of them on top of that. I also think that it shifts the balance of representation on the board. I'm not sure if this accomplishes what this is sets out to do.

Saldanha: So from my understanding we already have two Representatives who represent the first years demographic. Also there is a part-time director and we represent people who are in our program rather than the DSA. So first-years don't have a program yet but they do know where they hope to be and part-time students are taking courses within certain programs so we would represent their thoughts.

Dang: I would like to respond to what Eilia said. So the intention of this, and obviously we have thought about the different logistics concerns that you have raised as well. So in terms of international representatives being elected, I think that it would be important because #1, we represent 25% of our student population is coming from International student representatives but the more pertinent point which you raised is that, does that shift the balance away. I don't agree with that sentiment. Obviously within our directors, our directorship, we represent academic students as well and international students would be included in that. So I don't think that it doesn't necessarily shift the onus of representing International students but at least they have a home that they can go to that is specifically for them. On to the point about logistics, again I would defer to what is being to said earlier that this is not necessarily the right table to break discussion and to bring granularity into this debate. So I will take this back and I will make an amendment to this when it is brought to the general meeting.

Eilia: I just want to make a couple points on the latter point that Raymond just mentioned. I would disagree with that notion. I think sometimes in general meetings motions as a whole can get past without concern of some of the detail being discussed. I think in this case, because of what it represents it should be discussed here. Secondly, Raymond made the point that I was trying to allude to that you folks already represent International students within your academics. I do think that creating two new voting parties shifts the votes completely in a membership representation. I don't think this has been thought of at all. I also think that it's incorrect to say that you folks already don't do a good enough job of representing International students. I think everyone here comes from different perspectives and backgrounds. If the motivation for are this is that the SCSU and it's processes lacks involvement of international students then I think there is a different way to go about that. And I think there is a different way to look into that. Maybe for those who are adding this clause, work needs to be done prior to the AGM to look into accounts of what participation rates with our International students currently is and I would ask the board to not support this motion.

Brayiannis: I would like to make an amendment to the amendment. I'd like it to be amended to 1 International representative instead of 2.

Chair: So that has been moved by Nicole. An amendment to reduce from 2 international student representatives to 1. Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Mobeen. Would you like to motivate?

Brayiannis: So I think that the international student representatives could be comparable to the parttime student representative. Recognizing that the part-time rep would come from a certain department as well, but their experiences are still valuable to the input of the board. I think it could be comparable to the international student. Recognizing that they may be from a certain program but their experiences as International students are different and it would be beneficial to gathering direct input from them. I don't think that is necessary to have 2, I think that having 1 would be a happy medium to have that input still but also pay attention to what the voting power would bring with that as well.

Chair: So on the amendment is there any discussion you folks want to have?

Dang: I think I actually do agree with reducing it to 1 elected international student representative. Not only do I think it would it kind of alleviate the issue that Eilia raised around the election, it would also be good as a trial to introduce 1 international students representative and if we need more representation we can always tailor for that. But having that 1 is very important. I would like to support the amendment.

Eilia: I would speak in favor of decreasing the number from 2 to 1. I would also ask that the board consider further amending it to make it a non-voting member. Just because I think that the part-time student argument works for part-time students as they pay into a different students' union. They pay into the part-time students' union if I'm not mistaken so their fees are completely separate. So there is no representation issue there. But they're certainly does become a representation issue when you have one person being represented by two people. Again, how does the policies of that work? Are you asking that person to only consider the elements of just the International perspective? Are they going to consider elements of the international perspective or the program that they're in? And suddenly you have situations where you have to remember political sides. I think that there is just a lot of issues that come with voting power. So I asked that a further amendment be made where it is to reduce it to one elected international student representative as a non-voting member on the board of directors.

Unknown: I do agree with what he is saying. So my question is wouldn't the students union have a list of students who are international students?

Brayiannis: That would also be something that would require further investigation. But we can probably connect with the international student center to get verification of what students are international students. It is pretty easy to track just based on enrollment but we have to see if we can get access to that. We have to recognize that that would be a release of personal information. But assuming that can be done it would probably be the best way.

Unknown: I like to recommend that the board further investigate this before having this part of the motion.

Saldanha: Talking about if the international students director would...

Chair: So I'm going to stop you there because right now the amendment is talking about changing it from 2 to 1. If your comment is about the topic of changing it from 2 to 1 then yes go ahead.

Saldanha: I would like to support for moving it from 2 to 1. But like other people said I think it needs to be discussed further because International students are a big population so that could also be problematic. At the same time there was discussion about how the person representing would be divided between your own program and their international student status. Similarly, other Board of Directors are in different programs but they don't let that affect their position as a director of the program they represent.

Dang: I think that's to address the first concern, I think that the international student representative should focus on International students. I don't think that they should be accompanied with an academic role. I don't think that is necessary. I also caution against any sort of removal of voting power because they themselves do represent 20 some percent of our student population. But to get to the point, which is more so a question, I remember this being passed at the semi annual general meeting, which is the winter general meeting in March 2018. And that was a motion that I made myself. So I was wondering why the students' union itself didn't have time to discuss this at all.

Chair: Does anyone want to respond to that? I will put you on the speakers list. If someone can respond to Raymond's sentiment that this was passed at the spring general meeting you can speak it here, that would be great. But I do not have an answer for you unfortunately.

Eilia: I think somebody raise the point that there a way to identify whether students are international or not. I may be wrong about this but I remember that when the SCSU was implementing the health and dental plan, there was actually no way to gain access to that list because of safety requirements around whether students are international or not with how sensitive information can be. So again I don't think that anyone is arguing that they shouldn't be represented. I think what I'm trying to say is that they are already represented, they are already part of the different academics. Again if the question is there a lack of participation or they are not being represented to a certain extent or to the right extent, then I think that investigation needs to be done. But I think adding voting members to this Board of Directors is not an action that should be taken lightly. Again I would ask that an amendment be introduced to pass it as one elected individual who is a non-voting member.

Syed (Dir. Bio): So I'm just asking what is the amendment?

Chair: So the amendment right now is just to make it from 2 elected individuals to 1 as a voting member.

Dang: I think that to flip that argument on its head, I think that we should encourage that voting power. I think it would send a signal to this entire board, that if their vote was the one that flipped a decision on International students.

Chair: so I'm just going to remind folks, and be very loose about it. But right now the amendment is what is being discussed.

Dang: okay so to bring it back, I think that 1 representative as voting member representing International students is a good idea. Primarily because they would have the responsibility of representing students who are international in nature. And I think that because we have seen developments and how we access information for the election because of all the other logistics we have discussed here today, I urge members to the reduction of numbers.

Brayiannis: I would like to extend speaking rights to Michael.

Chair: Speaking right have been requested for Michael. Can I please get a seconder? Seconded by Mobeen. Any discussion on expanding speaking rights to Michael?

Brayiannis: Michael is an international student so I think it would be good to have his input on the conversation.

Chair: Thank you. Is there any other discussion on extending speaking rides to Michael?

Dang: I just think that we need to be cautious that Michael does not speak on behalf of all International students because he is not an elected individual from the international student category.

Chair: Any further discussion on extending speaking rights to Michael? Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Speaking rights have been extended. Eilia: I would ask that the chair offer to question. Specifically because we all agree. I am asking that you present that to the board because it seems that we all do agree on the amendment that it should be one not two. So if we could pass that then we can continue discussing.

Michael: So concerning whether it is 1 or 2 representatives, because they make a percentage of the students. I have been here for four years and I have had relationships with past and current students. I do not know if this is correct, if there has been any International students in the Students' Union board. I do not know how true that is. We come from far and we pay a lot and we need our voices to be heard. Even if it is not a voting member, we should at least have a say.

Dang: Just to correct the record, there was an international student who preceded my colleague, the Director for Center of Critical Development Studies but they represented Development Studies more so then they represented International students. So I would like to completely echo what Michael said.

Michael: So you have a thing of about 20 people but in the last couple of years you've only had one person like that. So if it is possible to have 1 individual who can sit or who can represent. I don't think anyone here could understand the struggle people go through or that I go through because I am speaking for myself as an international student. There are certain aspects of struggle which effect us students. And to have someone to represent as a voiced opinion and to try and deal with these issues, I think it would be a step in the right direction.

Chair: Alright so that exhausts the speakers list. We will go to a vote on the amendment which is to change it from 2 voting representatives to 1 voting representative. Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. So now we will go back to the main

motion. I had a speakers list earlier so if you want to be on the speakers list just put your hand up. Right now I have Raymond, Mobeen, and Nicole.

Dang: To speak generally on this motion, I do not believe that we should limit the voting power of such a individual because they represent 20 something percent of our population and to echo the point that Michael made, these are students who do not feel represented. They don't see us as elected international student representatives. They see us as directors or executives. So it will give them a space to talk about these issues that are pertinent to them. And I would caution against non-voting because it is important that when we discuss International students issues, that their voice gets heard in an actual physical manner by way of a vote. And to take that away from them would be essentially unjust.

Mobeen: I was just like to support the amendment in favor of having 1 international individual, sorry having 1 voting international student representative sitting on the board only because there are certain movements that we keep as a board to support International students. And the fact that International students give a lot money or a chunk of that money does go to the Student Union, what we do for them, we also want to hear what they would want more or how we can contribute to them. So having Representatives sit on the board and share their outlook on how we do better service for them and contribute to their success I think it's very important.

Eilia: I think I withdraw my request for a Board of Director to amend to make it a non-voting member. I think that Michael and Mobeen did an excellent job actually changing my perspective that elected representatives should have voting power. I would now like to speak in favour that the SCSU's job is to support its membership where I can. The Students' Union is the largest voting power that the students have available to them.

Brayiannis: To further echo in favor of the International students, it also involves intersectional identities and they are members to the union so they should have voting rights as well.

Lhamo: I would like to extend speaking rights to Devesh.

Chair: Motion to extend speaking rights has been moved by Chemi for Devesh. Can I get a seconder? Seconded by Rebecca. Any discussion on extending speaker rights?

Dang: I am under the assumption that he is a incoming first-year representative so I would like to congratulate him.

Chair: Any other discussion on extending speaking rides? Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? Alright by motion carries, speaking rights extended.

Saldanha: Just point of information. Is he an international student?

Devesh: No I'm not technically I was born in India and lived there till I was like 10 years old and then I moved here.

Chair: So now we are going back to the original motion. There is no one on the speakers list so you can go ahead.

Syed (Dir. Bio): I feel like the bad guy saying this, because I know everyone is sort of supporting this, but by making an international student who has voting rights so, are we saying that International students will struggle in other ways? Is there something special about them compared to people who are born here, domestic students?

Chair: I think that what I can say because everyone will probably want to say the same thing, is that their experiences interacting with Academia is a bit unique and different. That is a perspective that they want to bring to the table. So if there's anyone who wants to jump on the speakers list then please let me know.

Saldanha: I would also say in my opinion that they pay double our fees or something like that. And they also have to pay 4 times our tuition fee and they need to pay for residence. You're not with your mom or dad, like that's really sad. You're not in your own like place. Everyone speaks a different language so it has to be really scary. So that's why.

Dang: And you really touch upon International student representatives on the lived experience that International students have. I talk to International students and there are persistent issues like finding accommodating housing that is safe and affordable. So that is something that we can work on as a board but in previous years we didn't have that advocacy. As well as that the different cost that Rebecca highlighted such as food, tuition, housing, all these different cost make it so that International students struggle to keep financially afloat and academically afloat. If they don't keep academically afloat then their study permit gets essentially removed.

Gheerawo: Adding on to Raymond's point of living experiences. I interacted with them on the fight the fees last year where I found out that a group of individuals were just there to protest because their visas could be taken away. So that is something that I would never have thought of. And also academics since they are enrolled in school they are on an academic Visa. So as a domestic student that doesn't cross my mind which is why it is important to have an international representative on the board.

Mobeen: I would like to call to question.

Chair: Motion to call the question has been raised by Mobeen. Can I get a seconder? Kali. Any discussion? Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. So the motion is in front of you, of electing a rep as a voting member of the board. We'll go to a vote on that Amendment. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. All those opposed? That motion carries. So we'll go back up to the second be it resolved clause and I will seek a recommendation from the board.

Dang: I would like to motion to adopt a second be it resolved clause, to strike the following.

Chair: Can I get a seconder please? Seconded by Kali. Motivation or discussion?

Dang: It's just redundant at this point to have international student representative and we already discussed in policy and by law that it would be a grammatical error so that is why we decided to strike it out as a new clause.

Eilia: Question to the chair. If this motion passes at the general meeting and comes into effect immediately, does that mean that there is one vacancy now on the board of directors?

Chair. Yes essentially. But it is too late to call a by election so it would be moved to the spring election. Is there any other discussion on that Amendment? All right seeing none will go to a vote. All those in favor please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That motion carries. So now we have completed the package and we are back to the main motion. Oh sorry my apologies there is one more. Page 17. I am looking for the board on the recommendation.

Dang: I would like to move to adopt the first be it resolved clause.

Chair: So that has been moved by Raymond. Can I get a seconder? Kali. Any motivation or discussion?

Dang. Vice-president Campus Life is arguably the most important position dealing with student life on campus and it is something that has to be dealing with different clubs, different associations. For this position to be hired instead of elected, which is what it is currently, does not facilitate student confidence in the position. I would like to amend this amendment to strike out from this 2019-2020 year onwards.

Chair: So that is emotion to strike this 2019-2020 year onward. That has been moved by Raymond. Can I please get a seconder? Kali. Raymond would you like to motivate please?

Dang: So it was discussed in policy and by law committee that it would not be eligible to be elected in the upcoming year but seeing that we have received new information and new guidance, I would ask the board to revert to the original motion, my original intention for it to be elected in March 2019 elections.

Eilia: question to the chair. So if the be it resolved clause passes the 3rd Amendment does that mean the current VP of Campus Life but have to vacate their position?

Chair: Nope. Is there any other discussion? Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. Now we are back to the main motion as it was amended. Any discussion?

Unknown: So I just wanted to clarify, so I am a bit confused to when will this come into effect.

Chair: So this will come into effect at the end of the AGM if it passes. So at that point that position will now be occurring at the elections at the next SCSU elections cycle. Any other discussion? Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. Now to the motion on page 19. But the instructions are on the bottom. The instruction from PBC is to not make recommendations.

Dang: Point of information did we just approve the entire motion? It is my belief that we just passed the amendment.

Chair: Oh my apologies, thank you for catching that. So we are finish all the Amendments and we are now back to you the whole package with all the amendments. This is again just something procedural. So before we vote on that is there any question or discussion?

Syed (Dir. Bio): maybe the execs have more information on this but is there any reason why VP of Campus Life is hired rather than elected?

Brayiannis: It's really just tradition. Since this role was introduced it was always just a higher role. In previous years it was also a higher role up until 2 years ago that was the case where it switched over to elected. It is up to discussion of the board if they want to maintain Campus Life has a higher position. Or turn into an elected position.

Lhamo: in the latest documents that we could find it was mentioned that they were only for hired position.

Chair: Just to maybe add perspective considering other student unions also do this. They do this because the position deals with clubs or funding agreements that have a lot of influence and a lot of sway, so to make sure that you are an executive to benefits clubs that are just your friends, that position is usually hired. So for the past meetings which I have chaired that has been the reason but over time that has kind of changed. So it may have originated from the fact that Campus Life does all these events and all this work and works with all these groups and clubs, so they want to cut that influence of the most popular person running to benefit their own group but I think there are some checks and balances in place right now to avoid that.

Syed (Dir. Bio): so do the execs see any merit in hiring the VP of Campus Life over electing them?

Brayiannis: This has been a big debate between us as well so we were interested to see what everyone's opinion was at the table. We were unsure of which way to go was it as well. So we're excited to hear about everyone's opinion as well.

Dang: I think that the Board of Directors and the annual general meeting itself service a good check to oversea the power of the vice president of campus life. We also have the club's committee which deals with that. I know that we have Club Committee Member sitting here today and I believe that they would not be acting in the best interest of individual members or clubs of the sort.

Saldanha: In terms of if you were to switch it to voting for Campus Life, I think that when someone runs in election, I think you take it for granted that they wouldn't use the position that they have to their advantage. Or the position they are about to gain to their advantage. I just think it would be good to vote them in. In contrast to the idea I just said, as I am struggling with it myself. It could also be a popularity contest depending on if your own club has a lot of members they could just vote for you. Smaller Clubs who has very few members running for the same position don't have that.

Dang: To play Devil's Advocate, I would argue that isn't all of our positions just a popularity contest?

Eilia: I think just you offer a perspective, since this is something that the SCSU talked about years ago. The notion that originally it was hired was around the notion that it was a hiring process, competition is

explicit. Therefore you choose the most qualified person in terms of running and promoting events. I do not necessarily know if that is true anymore or if it has been true for the past couple of years. I think that rather than discussing it about questions that surrounded, I ask that you folks called question the larger discussion.

Chair. Okay that exhaust the speakers list. So again we are voting the package with all the amendments and the main motion. So very procedural. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries. That is going to go to the AGM agenda. So the next one is not going to have a recommendation from PBC. It is not a bylaw motion. So it is just there for you folks to know that this is a motion that is going to go to the AGM and that it is going straight to the AGM because again as I mentioned it is not a bylaw so it is not going through the same process as the other bylaw motions talked about today. So it is just here for us to let the folks know and the board members know that this is going to be coming to them at the annual general meeting. As the chair, I have read the motion and I approved that this motion is not out of order of anything so it is going to go to the annual general meeting. Any question? Second one, it is just here for your information because as your chair I'm going to be calling this motion out of order. I will explain why it is being called out of order. So again as I mentioned, if you go back to the beginning of this meeting I talked about how you folks are governed by something called the Not for Profit Corporations Act. Within that act it says that if you want to remove a board of director from their position or their office, you need to call a special general meeting to do that and you have to have a resolution for that special general meeting. This motion is just tagging on to the fact that you have an AGM and wants to remove that individual from office; it is not how a move out of office works. The second part of this is that there are some aspects of the whereas clauses that attack the individual referred to in the removal of the office that can be considered libelous. So as your chair I cannot promote that culture on campus so I will also be calling the motion out of order because of that. So this is just for your information. So we is SCSU will not print this motion meaning that we will not engage in that behavior. It is printed for the purpose of this board meeting. So please do not continue to distribute this motion at all. I have informed both Nicole and Francis that this motion should not be in the agenda for the annual general meeting. Are there any questions about that? Alright so my proposal to the president is to let the individual know who put forth this motion what the chair has said and if they have any issues they should speak to me as the chair. If there are any further comments about this we will discuss them but we are not referring to this motion at the AGM.

Gheerawo: so why was this motion even put into the agenda in the first place?

Chair: I asked them to put it and the package because most people say that you silence them when you don't bring forth their motion or that you are being undemocratic. So this is just to let you folks know that no one is hiding anything and that me as your chair is saying that I wanted here just to discuss it. But again I don't want this package written further with what was being put in it.

Gheerawo: I would just like to have a recommendation for the next time that this does happen to contact the person who is practically being slandered by this. It causes emotional stress on them and on their academics. And this was a big part as they were not consulted by anyone from the executive team about this. And even talking to the person as a friend, this has personally affected them.

Chair: So are you recommending that when we get this motion that we do not bring it to the board, and we just shut it down?

Gheerawo: If it is being brought to the board, to talk to the person who is subjected to this because they are still human at the end of the day and they still have feelings. And this is a very stressful situation that they are placed in.

Eilia: just to echo that sentiment, I think that this motion is completely slanderous and I am actually disappointed that the executives for not following up with legal action against the person who presented this motion.

Chair: Cool. So this was just for your information. Again please do not reproduce this or re-print it. And my apologies to the individual affected.

Dang: Point of order. At the annual general meeting if this individual decides to overrule the chair on this particular issue, would they be allowed to introduce this again?

Chair: So the only way that this can be allowed to be introduced, because right now it does not exist in the agenda. So it would be inserted into the agenda, at which point I would call it out of order. If it is challenged, then as the chair I will reiterate why I am calling it out of order. And based on the head of the board, I expect you folks to vote to uphold the ruling of the chair. Any other questions? Thank you. Moving on to other business.

Eilia: Question to the chair. I think Nicole just ask this, so we are not going to discuss the first motion that was not recommended?

Chair: Yeah so what I said is this is not a bylaw motion, it is just here for you to know. And as a chair I'm just saying it's here for you to know in the board meeting for the general meeting. So the motions that we are only discussing here are for bylaws because that is a procedural thing. The rest is just like for your information. Item number 5 is other business. Is there any other business?

### **5.Other Business**

Dang: I would like to bring attention to the board to the matter that happened on Monday. I recognize that there has been in an apology made to members of the Jewish communities on campus. So on Monday Jewish students as well as chaplains as well as community organizations work to create a vigil to commemorate the Pittsburgh Massacre that happened on Saturday a few weeks before. Ensuing discussion on anti-Semitism on campus, and also just generally how to better go about dealing with situations of anti-semitism. And promoting a positive environment for students who are Jewish. So I just wanted to bring up the issue that opposed to other student unions, opposed to all other efforts in organizations, SCSU has not had a holocaust Education week. To my knowledge this week is Holocaust Education Week, and we have been shamefully complicit towards helping dismantle anti-semitism especially in cases of when it conflicts with the whole palestine-israel movement. As well as other issues dealing with representation and just rights of Jewish people in Canada in Toronto on our campus. So I just want to put this out there, and I will be putting forth in motion at the next board meeting to

introduce Holocaust Education week that's part of the chairs and vice presidents the programming as it is a very important thing we need to talk about and we have yet to talk about.

Lhamo: If you don't mind with before I answer the question could you please repeat the part regarding the israel-palestine. I just don't want to get it wrong.

Dang: So what I meant by when I said that, is that I know that these are very personal attentions for a lot of students who come from the Middle East or have family in the Middle East. So these discussions sometimes, regarding Palestine and all the debate around the statehood Palestine and the statehood of Israel, come with and very anti-semitic feelings. I am here to say that it is not okay. I am here to say that I encourage folks to encourage a motion that I will be bringing to the next meeting to discuss the need for Holocaust Education week in future administrations and future years for Equity programming.

Lhamo: First of all thank you for bringing that up. I completely agree at on that you should always make sure to recognize such tragic events and use it as an opportunity to educate and raise awareness on our campus. Not just about the Holocaust but also Tiananmen Square and other educational opportunities SCSU can take on. It is unfortunate that there is a limitation. Couple of things. First of all, in regards to the Jewish Students Association, and the vigil that was held in regards to the Pittsburgh shooting, SCSU was present and in making sure but they had the resources necessary to make sure that the vigil happened in a place safely. So we definitely played a role in providing support to the Jewish students. Second in regards to the Jewish Holocaust Education week personal apology from both myself and from the president has been made to members whoever this this issue effects in regards to the lack of programming for Holocaust Education week. It is merely based on ignorance that we were not aware and I take full responsibility. But I would also argue that this is not fall upon VP of equity to ensure that they are aware every single tragic event that has occurred in every history and every narrative. So I would actually like to encourage the board and members of this Union to create an environment where we are able to encourage each other and to make each other aware of certain events or initiatives or opportunities for the union to do better to make sure that the campus is safer for everyone. So if that's the case then we are all working towards the same goal then we can avoid having students feel like they have not been represented. Moving forward we will make personal connections and reach out to various faith-based groups as you have heard in my executive report. And at the beginning of the year my commitments were the multi-faith initiative so we have made multiple efforts in creating event programming. Just recently, just yesterday we created an event called try with chaplains where we had folks from all different faith-based communities including the Jewish Community to come out to have a very insightful discussion. There I was also able to extend invites to the Jewish community to meet with me personally anytime that they liked. Making myself available to them 24/7 for them to if they would like to do any sort of programming for Holocaust Education week which is this week. So immediately it is being put into place although we usually have a timeline to make events, but this time we made an exception to let them know that we are willing to take on any ASAP programming or post or if they would like to do something next week, we are more than happy to take on.

Tsai: I am requesting speaking rights Ghaiti.

Chair: so that is a motion to extend speaking rights moved by Leon. Can I get a seconder please? Seconded by Mobeen. Any discussion on extending speakers rights? Seeing none, we will go to a vote. All those in favor, please raise your voting cards. Opposed? That carries.

Dang. I would like to thank Chemi for her commitment to the Jewish students on campus. And I would like to accept an apology on behalf of the SCSU. A statement would be very much in order and I would like to see that and place in the interim. But to echo the points that were made by Chemi, I don't think that this isn't something that we shouldn't talk about. That we shouldn't not have a Holocaust Education week. I think it is important that we commemorate the 6 million lives that were lost and the Holocaust and we talked about it constantly so that we can raise awareness for people who are living as descendants of those people who have survived. I think that it is quite unfortunate that we're making comparison to past events because anti-Semitism still happens now, it just goes under a different name every time. So we need to talk about it and we need to get rid of it, we need to make sure that people are aware of it, because this is something that is a persistent evil. This is something that has happened for several thousands of years, it is not unique to us but we have a responsibility to address this at this Union because we have the power and the resources and the ability to have everything to address this. I know that this Union has been changing, its people have been changing, but in the past this Union has had allegations of anti-Semitism and its leadership. So I think it is quite important that this gets placed in the responsibilities of a VP of equity so that they can solidify this is something that future equity do. As for moving this next week I don't think that is appropriate, I think that's a national discussion on Holocaust Education week is important and the timing is important. But I also want to talk to you about a different issue which is has been brought up to me, and I open this up to discussion to all members here today. I have spoken about this to members of the executive committee about this, but yesterday there was a post made on utsc bird courses as well as the accepted 2018 group around 10 Athletics and Recreation issue involving pan am staff acting inappropriately allegedly. So I just wanted to open up the discussion because this is something that I think other board of directors can give their shared experiences about.

Lhamo: I just want to quickly respond because you misheard me, because I do not in any way say that it should be moved for Holocaust Education week, I do not want anyone to make that decision. Secondly, yes there is anti-Semitism on your campus, no I do not deny that there was anti-semitism. And I completely agree how know it is part of SCSU mandate and equity statement to tackle and combat acts of hatred and acts of intolerance such as anti-semitism, but not limited to it. So I do not understand where that confusion came about but I completely agree with the first statement that you had regarding making it a point for the SCSU executives to take Holocaust Education Week seriously and implemented in it in the equity statement next year. the point that was made in regards to making a statement by the end of this week or next week was because of the situation that we are currently in. Rationally we have come to the end of the week and should the Jewish students feel that it is appropriate for them to, or should the Jewish students feel like it is okay or feel the need for SCSU to take action currently, then we are more than happy to do so. That was the only suggestion I gave to the Jewish students, as a way to Band-Aid solution for this year. In regards to doing

programming next week, it is in no way to move the Holocaust Education week, it is just to give the Jewish students time to plan. In conversations with the Jewish students presidents, they actually mention that they are guite busy and in no way as an executive is it okay for me to give all the labor to the Jewish students, or be like hey it's Holocaust Education week you tell me what to do, considering that there are only a few students who are active and because of SCSU's relationship in the past, it has been quite hard for them to approach us. So I just want to clear up that I did not make any sentiments in saying that it is not important. I recognize that it is important. Number two, in regards to the athletic Pan Am situation, I don't know if you are aware it is on a lot of social media pages. A student has raised a concern about it. Seeing that last night at 1:30 a m, I sent an email after looking at it. I sent a message to the person who post did that asking them for permission, although it is public information, I ask them for permission if I could share that or disclose that information to the administration how are the people within the university to take action. After I had gotten the permission, I made sure that they are aware of all the resources that are available to them both on and off campus, including the sexual violence and prevention office, Health and Wellness, and women and trans, for the victim as well as a friend who is currently trying to raise awareness of the situation. Third, I sent an email to the manager of T-pass, utsc representative of the Pan Am and also the assistant Dean for health and wellness and Recreation in regards to this issue. I received a response this morning, but I was hoping to give you all updates later on. But since it got brought up I'm letting you know that action was taken immediately as soon as we, as soon as it was brought to our attention.

Eilia: a question to the chair, actually multiple questions. First question to the chair, the executives have had a back-and-forth discussion on this. They mentioned the notion that they were simply unaware that it was Holocaust Education week and the next week might not be feasible. Are there plans in the future for future semesters to have a holocaust week? My second question to the chair as well as to the executives. The executives have actually failed to adhere to past motions and board meetings. In the September one there was a motion raised by Raymond which pertain to an issue of a public apology. They did not adhere to that. As well as in the October meeting there was a motion to issue a public statement in regards to food safety and they also did not adhere to that. I wanted to know if to know if there are plans to release those statements in the future.

Lhamo: In terms of the Holocaust Education week being pushed back to next week oh, it is not feasible it's hard to say. I have not claimed that it is not feasible yet. The lead is taken on by the Jewish Students Association because we cannot make a decision on moving the date. So it is up to them if they would like us to do some programming. We have let them know if they need us to step in and terms of resources are leading the conversation we are more than happy to do so. But it will only initiate after they have expressed to us that they would like to collaborate with us or want us to step in at any moment to. In regards to next year we will definitely do it but we let them know if at any point they would like us to step in then we will be there to assist them. The discussions will be led by the Jewish community, so none of us who don't identify as Jewish, so we do not think it is proper for us to make decisions especially on Holocaust Education week.

Brayianni: Just to pull up on what Chemi said. Rabbi chaplains Self Wellness Matters as well as the Jewish students Society. Unfortunately there is a thing where on Campus where they do not feel safe so there is no outreach from those communities to Student Union. We are working really hard right now to repair that relationship some more for where they do feel safe on campus. We have had really productive and healing conversations between us taking to rectify the situation and recognizing some of the things that have happened in the past I'm on making sure that they don't happen in the future. So that is a conversation that is being held. Chemi has done very well.

Lhamo: From the campaigning period we have developed a relationship. Not only that we have announced that after two or three years, the Jewish Students Association is finally coming back together to create that Club.

Brayiannis: sorry that was just a touch on that to let you folks know that we are working towards repairing it for the rest of the year and programming with them in the future. But we want to work making a safe campus so that they feel safe. If you do host events I think it actually is important that they can come to these events without fear of harm. So moving on to the second part, regarding the first statement. The executives are simply upholding bylaws. That is why no statement has been put out. There has been a long discussion that happen after that, we recognized it. We did not think it was necessary to be put out and we are looking to move forward with the board and we're together as a unified union rather than return to that discussion. Regarding the second statement that is actually in the process of being posted. It is finalized right now. We took a little bit of extra time, there have been some things on our end as well as last week it has been very busy. Sorry November is a super involved month. So we have been preparing for a lot of events that we are doing throughout this month so statement is ready and apologies for the delay on that.

Eilia: a question to the chair. Nicole the president mentioned that the Jewish students raise a safety concern. Could she please expand on that a little bit more?

Brayiannis: So the students not go into detail with me but through the conversation with students on the club as well as the Rabbi, due to sentiments of past events, they just said that they don't feel like there is a collective support for Jewish students and they also recognize that collectively in real Society there is a lot of anti-Semitism that exist. The Pittsburgh shooting was just a very blatant example but that does not disregard anything that happened on the day-to-day basis. So they said in general within Society there is a large bubble where anti-Semitism does exist. They also expressed based on where the school is located there is not a large Jewish community, so they feel very excluded within the community. That is now our responsibility to try and make them feel safer with in the spaces. He said that it was hard when they don't have the numbers and then also the general anti-Semitism that exists at school and in far less their experience meeting with the pass Union. That is something that we are working on to make sure that they do feel safe and wait to see what we can do to make sure that there is a better campus environment for all faith-based group.

Ghaiti: to highlight Chemi and Nicole's points that we are open to collab with these Jewish organizations to make it a safer campus for them. That we signed up for All Rights and all religions. If SCSU is helping

the program for Holocaust Education week, will they also be helping with every Massacre that happened?

Alemayhu: Eilia mention what I was going to say. I brought up the food issue in our last meeting. I motion to have a statement released by the end of the week but they have explained that there are some delays. So is there any way that you can release it by the end of this week? and I also motion to have a committee for that issue so I was wondering if we could do the election for that board members today?

Chair: The only thing I'll say about that is because not everyone came or missed a board meeting knowing that that was going to be an issue. That maybe we put it in a standing board meeting for next month. Because it was such an emergency board meeting, not everyone me the time to come. So it may not be fair to the people who are not here.

Lhamo: so I think I'll take this all in order. First to Raymond's point, I refer to all the ones that you made except for one of the points where you talked, due to the Israel Palestine relationship at the moment or political situation at the moment I have sorted linked to anti semitism on our campus. I completely agree and I am pleased that there is room for dialogue and that is something that we wanted to explore as well as a personal initiative I wanted to take on based on my past experience with the monk school of public affairs where we have done dialogues in areas of conflict such as China Tibet and sri lanka and India. Two, Eilia point of why they feel unsafe, and just to add upon on Nicole's points, there is anti-Semitism present on our campus but because we work with an institution that does have systemic barriers. One of them that does include that do during Jewish holidays, professors have consistently denied students accommodations academically. They are unable to understand which led to students finding other means to fake illnesses to get these documentation to avoid bad grades. That is something that we are taking seriously, so we have been in touch with the students in creating a list of some sort with the holidays of some sort and prioritizing it. Findings ways that we can connect with the BPOAP and finding ways that we can Lobby for these academic sanctions. For Ghaiti's point, thank you that was very heartwarming to hear. We can definitely work towards creating that dialogue or this conversation amongst our campus groups. SCSU will be taking up holocaust education week, this year we are currently just dependent on whether the Jewish students would like to do anything but on your other point of the other massacres, if it is something that affects our students and we are aware of it, so far example if you come to us and let us know about programming you would like us to do, I think that this year I can commit to you guys as your VP of equity; that I'm more than happy to put in my time to making it happen. But I cannot guarantee unless it is put into paper form or my future successors.

Brayiannis: Sorry I just wanted to respond to Kali's point. Yes the food statement will be put up ASAP. For the committee we can just put out a message to the group so that we can decide at the next meeting but then at least folks are going to have time to think about it and the commitment or maybe prep for a bit or motivating why they should be within the committee. So that folks who do come to the next meeting will be prepared.

Ghaiti: About the programming for the Holocaust Awareness Week, you said that next year you will be in charge of programming. But why is it only Holocaust awareness week and not other massacres that have occurred? Is there more important over some events over the others?

Lhamo: thank you. So let me be clear about what the scsu is doing this year. Raymond has stated that he'll be bringing a motion to the board of directors, in paper that the SCSU is responsible for acknowledging that Holocaust Education week happens. And that it will follow under the responsibility of VP of equity. To putting things into paper making it a policy, as some sort of Regulation allows us to continue it for further years. It does not mean that we are undermining any other faith-based groups or any sort of awareness week. It just means that that same policy makes it more inclusive. There has to be a recommendation or an amendment that Raymond might bring up next meeting. Or if you feel comfortable we can ask for the directors to bring up another motion that acknowledges a different massacres that have occurred to ensure that it has been put into policy and writing. In regards to this year I am more than happy to make sure that I do it this year.

Alemayehu: so if in the motion that I brought the last meeting there is a deadline I believe November 27<sup>th</sup> for the committee to recommend what next step should be taken to the board. So if we are going to wait for next meeting to elect a member, then it would be passed out that deadline. So is there any way we can accommodate that?

Chair: My recommendation is the following. Maybe an email is sent out to a board of directors this week or next week saying that this motion passed and if you want to nominate yourself you can do so and maybe provide one paragraph as to why. And then you folks can go ahead and read those and do a deadline of when you need to vote by. Then you can vote over email of that one particular thing. But what I did not want to do is open it here to make sure that all board members are aware that this is happening. That is an alternative that you folks can use so that we are still within the deadlines.

Brayiannis: Yeah I agree if folks are comfortable with that, especially because it is not within our bylaws it does not have to be ratified by the board. I do not think is the problem as long as everyone is comfortable with that. Just out on what you decide in the other conversation, for the union we try to acknowledge when major events are happening or when they affect communities. Whether it's a celebration or a remembrance. If you can connect with clubs on that it would be beneficial. Because part of the things that we were saying is that is very difficult for the union to know all the events and recognizing the Holocaust Education week is a big event so our apologies and all of us for not recognizing that. But moving forward if we could have engagement with different faith based groups to contact us. So if you are planning an event that you want us to discuss ways, but in terms of making it a post it is a lot easier to do. So just to recognize it we don't want things to go unnoticed if it's very important to members here.

Dang: I want to thank Toronto students from Justice for Palestine, I think that is the title of the organization. For really showing solidarity with Jewish students when there is rising anti-Semitism in the world. So that being said I think it is really important to specifically talk about Holocaust Education week as opposed to having an all education week of different massacres because this is a problem that stands

thousands of years, it is not something that has just occurred in a couple recent centuries. The second point I want to hit upon was the importance recognizing the face of anti-Semitism has changed throughout centuries. So currently people are labeled a certain way, derogatory way for being a part of this Jewish conspiracy. I don't think that people have paid enough attention to that or have called it out for what it is, anti-Semitism. I think it is important for us to have a discussion about this and commemorate those lives that were lost and commemorate the lives of those who are present with us today and raise awareness so that we can prevent more incidences like the from occurring.

Unknown: I was wondering if the packages were to be sent out to all members as I haven't been receiving one in the past. As a member of the PBC I noticed a lack of involvement or interest among certain execs that were sitting on that committing and I would hope that certain execs would focus on the topics being discussed.

Chair: So far the first part, send your email to Francis and we'll add you to the list to get the packages. So I'll just make sure that you are getting the packages.

Gheerawo: I just want to echo off with Nicole and Chemi are saying that it is very hard to cover everything. There are a lot of resources. Our union offers commissions is a great space and talking to execs is of a step forward. The union is progressing and there is a lot to learn especially as someone whose culture is never represented ever. So I think it is really important and I think creating a discussion is very important and there's a lot that we can do as a union.

Eilia: There is a disconnect here though. To allude to Raymond's original point that he was making that the union cannot get so involved with the Jewish student community and then somehow forgotten to do Holocaust Education week. That's me just doesn't make sense. If you're claiming to be so involved with these students and then somehow simply forgot and education event, there is a big disconnect there.

Lhamo: I completely agree that that sentiment occurred. In terms of involvement from my campaign times I had gotten to develop a relationship with students who felt that they were unsafe. So dialog has begun since then. And actually most of the work that I do now because one of my priorities is multi-faith dialogue. So when I had conversations with the Jewish students about how we can make it safer for the students as a union. So that was our main purpose and that's where most of the energy was put into. And but I am happy to announce that I recently got their application accepted through DSL and now we will be going through SCSU. In regards to Holocaust Education week, it was honestly just never raised and nor was I to take the initiative to look up awareness weeks. So for example, many of the folks here at the table no I am Tibetan. But none of them actually know that March 10th is the day I hit the street and protest since I was born. Y'all know that I wear my traditional clothes every Wednesday and I'm out here loud and proud with my Tibetan Pride but you are not aware of the days that hit my community. Nor do I expect you to. Unless I explicitly tell you about it to raise awareness. So is not an excuse but I'm just giving you a separate perspective. So, I do take responsibility that yes I should have looked up and seeing what my constituents need and want but again my capacity is only so much.

Unknown: I have a question about the SCSU's website which isn't working. I was wondering if there was anything being done to the website because it is broken.

Francis: yes so the website is being developed. It has been quite tedious to integrate all the aspects into the website.

Unknown: I have asked for packages from past years but then I had to come to you then for access to them.

Eilia: Just a question to the chair for the executives. So the Jewish community or Jewish Students Association never asked for SCSU involvement in planning a event for Holocaust week?

Lhamo: 100% no. If it was brought up I would have done something. A student just brought up yesterday and I am already on it.

Dang: I would like to bring up the topic of exec participation in the policy and bylaws meeting. The meeting was way too long, it was 3 hours when it could have been a two-hour meeting if we had more participation from the executive. So if it could be done in the future, policy and by law meetings I would really appreciate full attention and not just answering by emails during that committee session.

Brayiannis: Okay I think it is getting late now. A lot has been said and a lot of discussion. And thank you for being so attentive during our meeting and being so involved in the conversation. Recognizing that these emotions are going to the AGM next week so I hope that everyone is coming out to continue the conversation of what they want done with their Student Union. It is an amazing time to engage with their students so I do hope that you come to these faces. Commissions happens at the end of each month so please in students to come out to these. That is a monthly event for students union.

### 6.Adjournment

Chair okay motion to adjourn. Moved by Mobeen, seconded by Ayaan. 8:36